

**VARIATIONAL ITERATION TECHNIQUE BASED ON
FOURTH KIND CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS FOR
SOLVING BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS
OF TENTH-ORDER**

**A. M. AYINDE¹, J. I. OTAIDE², J. A. SALAMI³, A. E. ADENIPEKUN⁴
and R. O. ZUBAIR⁵**

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Abuja
Abuja
Nigeria
e-mail: ayinde.abdullahi@uniabuja.edu.ng

²Department of Mathematics
Federal University
Efuru
Nigeria

^{3,5}Department of Mathematics
Kwara State Polytechnic
Ilorin
Nigeria

⁴Department of Mathematics
Federal Polytechnic
Ede, Osun
Nigeria

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A12, 34A30, 34B05.

Keywords and phrases: boundary value problems, fourth kind Chebyshev polynomials, variational iteration technique, approximate solutions.

Communicated by Suayip Yuzbasi.

Received July 26, 2024; Revised September 7, 2024

Abstract

In this study, the Variational Iteration Technique (VIT) was applied, utilizing fourth kind Chebyshev polynomials, to compute numerical solutions for tenth-order boundary value problems. The novel approach involved creating fourth order Chebyshev polynomials specifically tailored for the given boundary value problems. These polynomials were then employed as basis functions for approximation within the VIT framework. The effectiveness and dependability of this method were demonstrated through numerical examples provided in the study. The calculations were performed using Maple 18 software, which provided the implementation and execution of the proposed approach.

1. Introduction

Consider a generalized boundary value problem of the form:

$$\eta_m \frac{d^m}{dz^m} v + \eta_{m-1} \frac{d^{m-1}}{dz^{m-1}} v + \eta_{m-2} \frac{d^{m-2}}{dz^{m-2}} v \dots \eta_1 \frac{d}{dz} v + \eta_0 v = f(z), \quad a < z < b, \quad (1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v(a) = A_1, v'(a) = A_2, v''(a) = A_3, \dots, v^m(a) = A_i, v(b) = B_1, v'(b) = B_2, \\ v''(b) = B_3, v^m(b) = B_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\eta_m, \eta_{m-1}, \dots, \eta_0$ are constants, $f(z)$ continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and $A_j, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ and $B_j, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$. This category of problems finds application in the mathematical modeling of various real-world scenarios such as viscoelastic flow and heat transfer, among others, within engineering sciences. Over the years, numerous numerical techniques have been developed to tackle such problems. Abdulla and Mohammad [1] introduced the fundamentals of the variational iteration method to address seventh-order boundary value problems. Pandey [14] employed quartic spline methods to solve third-order boundary value problems. Kasi and Sreenivasulu [6] utilized the Galerkin Method with Septic B-splines for tackling tenth-order boundary value problems. Additionally, Ali et al. [2] applied the reproducing kernel Hilbert space method to address tenth-order boundary value problems.

Iqbal et al. [4] employed both polynomial and non-polynomial cubic spline techniques to address linear tenth-order boundary value problems. Kasi and Reddy [7] utilized the Petrov-Galerkin method for solving ninth-order boundary value problems, while Reddy [15] applied the collocation method to tackle similar problems of the same order. Ghazala and Maasoomah [3] utilized the homotopy analysis method to solve ninth-order boundary value problems. Noor and Mohyud-Din [11] utilized the variational iteration decomposition method for solving fifth-order boundary value problems. In their subsequent work, Noor and Mohyud-Din [13] combined the homotopy perturbation method and the variational iteration method (VIM) to address fifth-order boundary value problems. Furthermore, Mohyud-Din and Yildirim [9] applied a modified VIM to solve ninth and tenth-order boundary value problems. Additionally, Noor and Mohyud-Din [12] developed and utilized the adomian decomposition method along with the VIM to solve fifth-order and other higher-order boundary value problems. In Shahid and Iftikhar's [16] research, the VIM employing He's polynomials was utilized to address seventh-order boundary value problems. Recently, Njoseh and Mamadu [10] introduced a generalized approach named the Power Series Approximation Method (PSAM) for tackling similar problems. Additionally, Mamadu and Njoseh [8] extensively employed the method of tau and tau-collocation approximation for solving first and second-order ordinary differential equations. Similarly, Islam et al. [5] applied the Differential Transform Method (DTM) to successfully handle a twelfth-order boundary value problem. In this research, we adopt the VIT utilizing Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind to address a tenth-order boundary value problem. Our proposed method corrects the correction functional for the boundary value problem (BVP), and optimally computes the Lagrange multiplier using variational theory. The results obtained thus far demonstrate the effectiveness and consistency of our proposed approach. Finally, we present the solution in an infinite series format, typically yielding accurate results.

2. The Standard Variational Iteration Technique

Consider the following general differential equation to demonstrate the basic concept of the technique:

$$Lv + N_l v - g(z) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where L stands for a linear operator, N_l stands for a nonlinear operator, and $g(z)$ stands for an inhomogeneous term. We can construct a correction functional using the variational iteration method as follows:

$$v_{i+1} = v_i(z) + \int_0^z \lambda(t) (Lv_i(t) + N_l \widetilde{v}_l(t) - g(t)) dt, \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is a Lagrange multiplier that can be optimally identified using a variational iteration technique. The n th approximation is denoted by the subscripts n . \widetilde{v}_l is classified as a restricted variation, i.e., $\widetilde{v}_l = 0$. The relation (4) is referred to as a correction functional. Because of the exact identification of the Lagrange multiplier, linear problems can be solved in a single iteration step. In this method, we need to determine the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda(t)$ optimally, and thus the successive approximation of solution v will be easily obtained by employing the Lagrange multiplier and our v_0 , and the solution is given by

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_i = v. \quad (5)$$

The Lagrange Multiplier also plays an important role in determining the solution of the problem, and can be defined as follows:

$$\lambda(t) = (-1)^m \frac{1}{(m-1)!} (t-z)^{m-1}. \quad (6)$$

2.1. Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind

The Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind are orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight function $W(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1-z}{1+z}}$, $\forall z \in [-1, 1]$. The

Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind is defined by $W_m(z) = \frac{\sin\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}$

with $W_0(z) = 1$ and $W_1(z) = 2z + 1$.

Hence, the first five Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind is given below:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} W_0(z) &= 1 \\ W_1(z) &= 2z + 1 \\ W_2(z) &= 4z^2 + 2z - 1 \\ W_3(z) &= 8z^3 + 4z^2 - 4z - 1 \\ W_4(z) &= 16z^4 + 8z^3 - 12z^2 - 4z + 1 \\ W_5(z) &= 32z^5 + 16z^4 - 32z^3 - 12z^2 + 6z + 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

2.1.1. Shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind

The shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind are orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight function $W^*(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1-z}{z}}$, $\forall z \in [0, 1]$

with starting values $W_0^*(z) = 1$ and $W_1^*(z) = 4z - 1$.

Hence, the first five shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind is given below:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} W_0^*(z) &= 1 \\ W_1^*(z) &= 4z - 1 \\ W_2^*(z) &= 16z^2 - 12z + 1 \\ W_3^*(z) &= 64z^3 - 80z^2 + 24z - 1 \\ W_4^*(z) &= 256z^4 - 448z^3 + 240z^2 - 40z + 1 \\ W_5^*(z) &= 1024z^5 - 2304z^4 + 1792z^3 - 560z^2 + 60z - 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (8)$$

2.2. Modified variational iteration technique using Chebyshev and shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind (MVITCS-CP)

Using (3) and (4), we assume an approximate solution of the form

$$v_{i,N-1}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} W_{i,N-1}(z), \quad (9)$$

$$v_{i,N-1}^*(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} W_{i,N-1}^*(z), \quad (10)$$

where $W_{i,N-1}(z)$ and $W_{i,N-1}^*(z)$ are fourth-order Chebyshev polynomials and shifted fourth-order Chebyshev polynomials, respectively, $\eta_{i,N-1}$ are unknown constants, and N is the degree of approximation. As a result, we get the iterative method shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{i+1,N-1}(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} P_{i,N-1}(x) \\ &+ \int_0^z \lambda(t) \left(L \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} P_{i,N-1}(z) \right. \\ &\left. + N_l \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} P_{i,N-1}(z) \right) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{i+1,N-1}^*(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} W_{i,N-1}^*(x) \\ &+ \int_0^z \lambda(t) \left(L \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} W_{i,N-1}^*(z) \right. \\ &\left. + N_l \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{i,N-1} W_{i,N-1}^*(z) \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

3. Numerical Applications

In this section, we solved four examples using the proposed method. The numerical results also demonstrate the proposed scheme's accuracy and efficiency.

Example 1 (Kasi and Sreenivasulu [6]). Considers the following tenth order boundary value problem:

$$v^{(10)} + v = -10(2z \sin z - 9 \cos z), \quad -1 \leq z \leq 1 \tag{13}$$

$$v(-1) = v(1) = 0, \quad v'(1) = -v'(-1) = 2 \cos 1, \quad v''(-1) = v''(1) = 2 \cos 1 - 4 \sin 1$$

$$v'''(-1) = -v'''(1) = 6 \cos 1 + 6 \sin 1, \quad v^{(4)}(-1) = v^{(4)}(1) = -12 \cos 1 + 8 \sin 1. \tag{14}$$

The exact solution for the problem is

$$v = (z^2 - 1) \cos z. \tag{15}$$

The correction functional for the boundary value problem (13) and (14) is given as

$$v_{i+1} = v_i(z) + \int_0^z \lambda(t) (v^{(10)} + v + 10(2t \sin t - 9 \cos t)) dt, \tag{16}$$

where $\lambda(t) = \frac{(-1)^{10}(t-z)^9}{9!}$ is the Lagrange multiplier.

Applying the modified variational iteration technique using the Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind, we assume an approximate solution of the form

$$v_{n,9}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(z). \tag{17}$$

Hence, we get the following iterative formula:

$$v_{n+1, N-1}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(z) + \int_0^z \frac{(t-z)^9}{9!} \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(t) \right) + \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(t) + 10(2t \sin t - 9 \cos t) \right) dt \quad (18)$$

$$v_{n+1, N-1}(z) = a_{0,9} W_{0,9}(z) + a_{1,9} W_{1,9}(z) + a_{2,9} W_{2,9}(z) + a_{3,9} W_{3,9}(z) + a_{4,9} W_{4,9}(z) + a_{5,9} W_{5,9}(z) + a_{6,9} W_{6,9}(z) + a_{7,9} W_{7,9}(z) + a_{8,9} W_{8,9}(z) + a_{9,9} W_{9,9}(z) + \int_0^z \frac{(t-z)^9}{9!} \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(t) \right) + \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(t) + 10(2t \sin t - 9 \cos t) \right) dt. \quad (19)$$

Using Equation (7) and application of the boundary conditions (14), the values of the unknown constants can be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{0,9} &= -0.4399013822, & a_{1,9} &= -0.249494616, \\ a_{2,9} &= 0.2494687761, & a_{3,9} &= 0.02991538274, \\ a_{4,9} &= -0.02993609110, & a_{5,9} &= -0.000638919406, \\ a_{6,9} &= 0.00063374317, & a_{7,9} &= 0.000055222284, \\ a_{8,9} &= 0.0000055222284, & a_{9,9} &= 0.00000000. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the series solution is given as

$$\begin{aligned} v(z) &= -0.9999999999 + 4.79509653610^{-10} z^{18} - 1.15185403710^{-11} z^{16} \\ &\quad - 1.10^{-21} z^{15} + 2.09914644610^{-9} z^{14} - 2.77660867910^{-7} z^{12} \\ &\quad + 0.00002507716049 z^{10} - 0.001413690470 z^8 + 0.04305555553 z^6 \\ &\quad - 0.5416666666 z^4 + 1.50000000 z^2 - \frac{1}{12164510040883200} z^{20} \\ &\quad + 2.10^{-12} z^5 - 5.0010^{-11} z^3 - 1.34010^{-10} z. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Example 2 (Kasi and Sreenivasulu [6]). Considers the following tenth-order boundary value problem:

$$v^{(10)} - (z^2 - 2z)v = 10 \cos z - (z - 1)^3 \sin z, \quad -1 \leq z \leq 1 \quad (21)$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v(-1) &= 2 \sin 1, v(1) = 0, v'(-1) = -2 \cos 1 - \sin 1, v'(1) = \sin 1, \\ v''(-1) &= 2 \cos 1 - 2 \sin 1, v''(1) = 2 \cos 1, v'''(-1) = 2 \cos 1 + 3 \sin 1, \\ v'''(1) &= -3 \sin 1, v^{(4)}(-1) = -4 \cos 1 + 2 \sin 1, v^{(4)}(1) = -4 \cos 1. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The exact solution for the problem is

$$v(z) = (z - 1) \sin z. \quad (23)$$

The correction functional for the boundary value problem (21) and (22) is given as

$$v_{i+1} = v_i(x) + \int_0^z \lambda(t)(v^{(10)} - (t^2 - 2t)v - 10\cos t + (t - 1)^3 \sin t) dt, \quad (24)$$

where $\lambda(t) = \frac{(-1)^{10}(t - z)^9}{9!}$ is the Lagrange multiplier.

Applying the modified variational iteration technique using the Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind, we assume an approximate solution of the form

$$v_{n,9}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(z). \quad (25)$$

Hence, we get the following iterative formula:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n+1, N-1}(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(z) + \int_0^z \frac{(t - z)^9}{9!} \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(t) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (t^2 - 2t) \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W_{i,9}(t) - 10\cos t + (t - 1)^3 \sin t \right) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{n+1, N-1}(z) = & a_{0,9}W_{0,9}(z) + a_{1,9}W_{1,9}(z) + a_{2,9}W_{2,9}(z) + a_{3,9}W_{3,9}(z) \\
& + a_{4,9}W_{4,9}(z) + a_{5,9}W_{5,9}(z) + a_{6,9}W_{6,9}(z) + a_{7,9}W_{7,9}(z) \\
& + a_{8,9}W_{8,9}(z) + a_{9,9}W_{9,9}(z) + \int_0^z \frac{(t-z)^9}{9!} \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9}W_{i,9}(t) \right) \right. \\
& \left. - (t^2 - 2t) \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9}W_{i,9}(t) - 10\cos t + (t-1)^3 \sin t \right) dt. \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (7) and application of the boundary conditions (22), the values of the unknown constants can be determined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{0,9} &= 0.8801362005, & a_{1,9} &= -0.6503257357, \\
a_{2,9} &= 0.190701144, & a_{3,9} &= 0.02920552360, \\
a_{4,9} &= -0.009400920074, & a_{5,9} &= -0.00037659810, \\
a_{6,9} &= 0.000123222795, & a_{7,9} &= 0.00002281755, \\
a_{8,9} &= -7.69667210^{-7}, & a_{9,9} &= -5.382310^{-9}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the series solution is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
v(z) = & -2.50521083810^{-8}z^{12} - 1.6059043810^{-10}z^{13} + 1.60590438610^{-10}z^{14} \\
& + 7.647163210^{-13}z^{15} - 7.6471636710^{-13}z^{16} - 2.811458510^{-10}z^{17} \\
& - \frac{1}{851515702861824000}z^{22} + \frac{1}{362880}z^{10} + 2.50521084110^{-8}z^{11} \\
& + 2.8114555610^{-15}z^{18} - 4.110261310^{-18}z^{20} + 8.22089710^{-18}z^{19} \\
& + 4.30604259810^{-18}z^{21} - 0.00000275537600z^9 - 0.0001984126720z^8 \\
& + 0.0001984128376z^7 + 0.008333333344z^6 - 0.008333333405z^5 \\
& - 0.166666666z^4 + 0.1666666662z^3 + 0.999999997z^2 \\
& - 0.999999985z - 5.735000010^{-10}. \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

Example 3 (Kasi and Sreenivasulu [6]). Considers the following tenth order boundary value problem:

$$v^{(10)} - v'' + vz = (-8 + z - z^2)e^z, \quad 0 \leq z \leq 1 \tag{29}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) = 1, \quad v(1) = 0, \quad v'(0) = 0, \quad v'(1) = -e, \quad v''(0) = -1, \quad v''(1) = -2e, \\ v'''(0) = -2, \quad v'''(1) = -3e, \quad v^{(4)}(0) = -3, \quad v^{(4)}(1) = -4e. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The exact solution of the example is

$$v(z) = (1 - z)e^z. \tag{31}$$

The correction functional for the boundary value problem (29) and (30) is given as

$$v_{i+1} = v_i(x) + \int_0^z \lambda(t)(v^{(10)} - v'' + vt - (-8 + t - t^2)e^t) dt, \tag{32}$$

where $\lambda(t) = \frac{(-1)^{10}(t-z)^9}{9!}$ is the Lagrange multiplier.

Applying the modified variational iteration technique using the shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind, we assume an approximate solution of the form

$$v^*_{n,p}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(z). \tag{33}$$

Hence, we get the following iterative formula:

$$\begin{aligned} v^*_{i+1,N-1}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(z) + \int_0^z \frac{(t-z)^9}{9!} \\ \times \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(t) \right) - \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(t) \right) \right. \\ \left. + t \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(t) \right) - (-8 + t - t^2)e^t \right) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{i+1, N-1}^*(z) &= a_{0,9}W_{0,9}^*(z) + a_{1,9}W_{1,9}^*(z) + a_{2,9}W_{2,9}^*(z) + a_{3,9}W_{3,9}^*(z) \\
&+ a_{4,9}W_{4,9}^*(z) + a_{5,9}W_{5,9}^*(z) + a_{6,9}W_{6,9}^*(z) + a_{7,9}W_{7,9}^*(z) \\
&+ a_{8,9}W_{8,9}^*(z) + a_{9,9}W_{9,9}^*(z) + \int_0^z \frac{(t-z)^9}{9!} \\
&\times \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9}W_{i,9}^*(t) \right) - \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9}W_{i,9}^*(t) \right) \right. \\
&\left. + t \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9}W_{i,9}^*(t) \right) - (-8+t-t^2)e^t \right) dt. \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Equation (8) and application of the boundary conditions (30), the values of the unknown constants can be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{0,9} &= 0.9029960441, \quad a_{1,9} = -0.1578129315, \quad a_{2,9} = -0.07004843010, \\
a_{3,9} &= -0.01008059536, \quad a_{4,9} = -0.00089644024, \quad a_{5,9} = -0.00005815092, \\
a_{6,9} &= -0.000002971276, \quad a_{7,9} = -1.2375010^{-7}, \quad a_{8,9} = -0.078810^{-9}, \\
a_{9,9} &= -8.4210^{-9}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the series solution is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
v^*(z) &= 5.175685837 \cdot 10^{-16} z^{19} - 1.2483912810^{-15} z^{18} - 2.2520910110^{-14} z^{17} \\
&- 7.1670579710^{-13} z^{16} - 1.07067296610^{-11} z^{15} - 1.49118504910^{-10} z^{14} \\
&- 1.9270862041 \cdot 10^{-8} z^{13} - 2.29644329610^{-8} z^{12} - 2.29644329610^{-8} z^{12} \\
&- 2.50521082110^{-7} z^{11} + 4.30604259810^{-18} z^{21} - 0.000002480158732 z^{10} \\
&- 0.001190658048 z^7 + 0.006944300241 z^6 + 0.03333338256 z^5 \\
&- 0.1250000052 z^4 - 0.3333333216 z^3 - 0.5000000049 z^2 + 3.752000010^{-10} z \\
&+ 3.29223198210^{-17} z^{20} - 0.00002207252480 z^9 - 0.000173500065 z^8 \\
&+ 1.000000000. \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

Example 4 (Ali et al. [2] and Iqbal et al. [4]). Considers the following tenth order boundary value problem:

$$v^{(10)} = - (80 + 19z + z^2)e^z, \quad 0 \leq z \leq 1 \tag{37}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) = 0, \quad v''(0) = 0, \quad v''(1) = -4e, \quad v^{(4)}(0) = -8, \\ v^{(4)}(1) = -16e, \quad v^{(6)}(0) = -24, \quad v^{(6)}(1) = -36e, \quad v^{(8)}(0) = -48, \\ v^{(8)}(1) = -64e. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The exact solution of the example is

$$v(z) = z(1 - z)e^z. \tag{39}$$

The correct functional for the boundary value problem (37) and (38) is given as

$$v_{i+1} = v_i(x) + \int_0^z \lambda(t)(v^{(10)} + (80 + 19t + t^2)e^t)dt, \tag{40}$$

where $\lambda(t) = \frac{(-1)^{10}(t - z)^9}{9!}$ is the Lagrange multiplier.

Applying the modified variational iteration technique using the shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind, we assume an approximate solution of the form

$$v^*_{n,9} = \sum_{i=0}^9 \alpha_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(z). \tag{41}$$

Hence, we get the following iterative formula:

$$\begin{aligned} v^*_{i+1,N-1}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^9 \alpha_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(z) + \int_0^z \frac{(t - z)^9}{9!} \\ \times \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 \alpha_{i,9} W^*_{i,9}(t) \right) + (80 + 19t - t^2)e^t \right) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v^*_{i+1, N-1}(z) &= a_{0,9}W^*_{0,9}(z) + a_{1,9}W^*_{1,9}(z) + a_{2,9}W^*_{2,9}(z) \\
&+ a_{3,9}W^*_{3,9}(z) + a_{4,9}W^*_{4,9}(z) + a_{5,9}W^*_{5,9}(z) + a_{6,9}W^*_{6,9}(z) \\
&+ a_{7,9}W^*_{7,9}(z) + a_{8,9}W^*_{8,9}(z) + a_{9,9}W^*_{9,9}(z) \\
&+ \int_0^z \frac{(t-z)^9}{9!} \left(\frac{d^{10}}{dt^{10}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^9 a_{i,9}W^*_{i,9}(t) \right) + (80+19t+t^2)e^t \right) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Using Equation (8) and application of the boundary conditions (38), the values of the unknown constants can be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{0,9} &= 0.862961228, & a_{1,9} &= 0.1293313161, & a_{2,9} &= -0.07699657358, \\
a_{3,9} &= -0.02277570009, & a_{4,9} &= -0.002982417993, & a_{5,9} &= -0.0002537042443, \\
a_{6,9} &= -0.00001597640481, & a_{7,9} &= -7.85740449810^{-7}, \\
a_{8,9} &= -2.94239326210^{-7}, & a_{9,9} &= -6.6227691410^{-10}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the series solution is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
v^*(z) &= -\frac{1}{11432810188000} z^{18} - \frac{1}{2032499589120} z^{17} - \frac{1}{93405312000} \\
&z^{16} - \frac{1}{6406022400} z^{15} - \frac{1}{518918400} z^{14} - \frac{1}{43545600} z^{13} - \frac{1}{3991680} z^{12} \\
&- \frac{1}{403200} z^{11} - \frac{1}{45360} z^{10} - 0.0001736119193z^9 - 0.001190476191z^8 \\
&- 0.006944435244z^7 - 0.03333333334z^6 - 0.1250000441z^5 \\
&- 0.3333333331z^4 - 0.4999999078z^3 - 0.9999999432z.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

4. Tables

Table 1 (Error estimates): The result of the proposed method compared Galerkin method with septic B-splines (Kasi and Sreenivasulu [6])

z	Exact solution	Approximate solution	Absolute error by the proposed method	GMSB-s Error
-0.8	-0.2508144153	-0.2508144149	4.000000e-10	5.483627e-06
-0.6	-0.5282147935	-0.5282147939	4.000000e-10	9.536743e-07
-0.4	-0.7736912350	-0.7736912349	1.000000e-10	8.702278e-06
-0.2	-0.9408639147	-0.9408639150	3.000000e-10	2.980232e-07
0.0	-1.0000000000	-0.9999999999	1.000000e-10	1.955032e-05
0.2	-0.9408639147	-0.9408639150	3.000000e-10	2.920628e-05
0.4	-0.7736912350	-0.7736912351	1.000000e-10	2.169609e-05
0.6	-0.5282147935	-0.5282147941	6.000000e-10	7.390976e-06
0.8	-0.2508144153	-0.2508144151	2.000000e-10	7.450581e-07

Table 2 (Error estimates): The result of the proposed method compared Galerkin method with septic B-splines (Kasi and Sreenivasulu [6])

z	Exact solution	Approximate solution	Absolute error by the proposed method	GMSB-s Error
-0.8	1.2912409640	1.291240961	3.000000e-09	4.649162e-06
-0.6	0.9034279574	0.9034279559	1.500000e-09	1.329184e-05
-0.4	0.5451856792	0.5451856781	1.100000e-09	2.050400e-05
-0.2	0.2384031970	0.2384031960	1.000000e-09	9.477139e-06
0.0	0.0000000000	-5.735000e-10	5.735000e-10	2.731677e-06
0.2	-0.1589354646	-0.1589354650	4.000000e-10	1.458824e-05
0.4	-0.2336510054	-0.2336510054	0.000000e-00	2.110004e-05
0.6	-0.2258569894	-0.2258569893	1.000000e-10	1.908839e-05
0.8	-0.1434712182	-0.1434712180	2.000000e-10	1.342595e-05

Table 3 (Error estimates): The result of the proposed method compared Galerkin method with septic B-splines (Kasi and Sreenivasulu [6])

z	Exact solution	Approximate solution	Absolute error by the proposed method	GMSB-s Error
0.1	0.9946538262	0.9946538263	1.000000e-10	1.537800e-05
0.2	0.9771222064	0.9771222065	1.000000e-10	4.452467e-05
0.3	0.9449011656	0.9449011652	4.000000e-10	3.331900e-05
0.4	0.8950948188	0.8950948185	3.000000e-10	3.552437e-05
0.5	0.8243606355	0.8243606352	3.000000e-10	9.477139e-06
0.6	0.7288475200	0.7288475199	1.000000e-10	2.586842e-05
0.7	0.6041258121	0.6041258120	1.000000e-10	3.975630e-05
0.8	0.4451081856	0.4451081853	3.000000e-10	3.531575e-05
0.9	0.2459603111	0.2459603107	4.000000e-10	2.214313e-05

Table 4 (Error estimates): The result of the proposed method compared with reproducing kernel Hilbert space method by (Ali et al. [2], & Iqbal et al. [4])

z	Exact solution	Absolute error by the proposed method	AE (RKHSM) Ali et al. [2]	AE (NPCSM) Iqbal et al. [4]	AE (PCSM) Iqbal et al. [4]
0.2	0.195424441	1.070000e-08	3.330000e-08	2.433000e-07	3.982000e-04
0.4	0.358037927	1.730000e-08	7.031000e-08	3.986000e-07	6.663000e-04
0.6	0.358037927	1.730000e-08	6.076000e-08	4.428000e-07	7.598000e-04
0.8	0.356086549	1.060000e-08	2.682000e-08	3.328000e-07	5.885000e-04

5. Conclusion

The modified variational iteration technique with Chebyshev and shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind was successfully applied in this paper to obtain numerical solutions to tenth order boundary value problems. Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind and shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the fourth kind are combined with the Variational iteration method in the modification. The method produces

rapidly converging series solutions, which are occur in physical problems. Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 show that when compared to methods in the literature, the proposed method produces a better result. Finally, the numerical results demonstrated that the present method is a powerful mathematical tool for solving the class of problems under consideration.

Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate the reviewers and editor for their careful reading, and valuable suggestions

References

- [1] A. M. Abdulla and A. Mohammad, Solution of seventh order value boundary value problems by using variational iteration method, *International Journal of Mathematics and Computational Science* 5(1) (2019), 6-12.
- [2] A. Ali, K. A. Esra, B. Dumitru and I. Mustafa, New numerical method for solving tenth order boundary value problems, *Mathematics* 6(11) (2018), 2-9; Article 245.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/math6110245>
- [3] A. Ghazala and S. Maasoomah, Application of homotopy analysis method to the solution of ninth order boundary value problems in AFTI-F16 fighters, *Journal of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences* 24 (2017), 149-155.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaubas.2016.08.002>
- [4] M. J. Iqbal, S. Rehman, A. Pervaiz and A. Hakeem, Approximations for linear tenth-order boundary value problems through polynomial and non-polynomial cubic spline techniques, *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences* 52(4) (2015), 389-396.
- [5] S. U. Islam, S. Haq and J. Ali, Numerical solution of special 12th-order boundary value problems using differential transform method, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 14(4) (2009), 1132-1138.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2008.02.012>
- [6] K. N. S. Kasi Viswanadham and B. Sreenivasulu, Numerical solution of tenth order boundary value problems by Galerkin method with septic B-splines, *International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering* 13(3) (2015), 247-260.

- [7] K. N. S. Kasi Viswanadham and S. M. Reddy, Numerical solution of ninth order boundary value problems by Petrov-Galerkin method with quintic B-splines as basis functions and septic B-splines as weight functions, *Procedia Engineering* 127 (2015), 1227-1234.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.11.470>
- [8] E. J. Mamadu and I. N. Njoseh, Tau-collocation approximation approach for solving first and second order ordinary differential equations, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics* 4(2) (2016), 383-390.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2016.42045>
- [9] S. T. Mohyud-Din and A. Yildirim, Solutions of tenth and ninth-order boundary value problems by modified variational iteration method, *Applications and Applied Mathematics* 5(1) (2010), 11-25.
- [10] I. N. Njoseh and E. J. Mamadu, Numerical solutions of a generalized n -th order boundary value problems using power series approximation method, *Applied Mathematics* 7(11) (2016), 1215-1224.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4236/am.2016.711107>
- [11] M. A. Noor and S. T. Mohyud-Din, A new approach for solving fifth-order boundary value problem, *International Journal of Nonlinear Science* 9 (2010), 387-393.
- [12] M. A. Noor and S. T. Mohyud-Din, Variational iteration method for fifth-order boundary value problem using He's polynomials, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* (2010), 1-12.
- [13] M. A. Noor and S. T. Mohyud-Din, Variational iteration decomposition method for solving eighth-order boundary value problems, *International Journal of Differential Equations* (2007), 1-16.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/19529>
- [14] P. K. Pandey, Solving third-order boundary value problems with quartic splines, *Springer-Plus* 5(1) (2016), 1-10.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-1969-z>
- [15] S. M. Reddy, Numerical solution of ninth order boundary value problems by quintic B-splines, *International Journal of Engineering* 5(7) (2016), 38-47.
- [16] S. S. Shahid and M. Iftikhar, Variational iteration method for the solution of seventh order boundary value problems using He's polynomials, *Journal of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences* 18 (2015), 60-65.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaubas.2014.03.001>

