

SOME CHARACTERIZATION OF LACUNARY UNIFORM STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE SEQUENCES

FIKRET ČUNJALO

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics
University of Sarajevo
Bosnia and Herzegovina
e-mail: fcunjalo01@hs-hkb.ba

Abstract

In the [3] is proven that sequence S_{ij} uniformly statistically converges to L if and only if there is a subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniform density zero and subsequence $S(x)$ defined by, $S_{ij}(x) = S_{ij}$ for $(i, j) \in A^c$, converges to L , in the Pringsheim's sense. In this paper, it is proven that analog is valid and for lacunary uniform statistical convergence. Double sequence S_{ij} lacunary uniformly statistically converges to L if and only if there is a subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ lacunary uniform density zero and subsequence $S(x)$ defined by, $S_{ij}(x) = S_{ij}$ for $(i, j) \in A^c$, converges to L , in the Pringsheim's sense. The subsequence $S(x)$ lacunary uniformly statistically converges to L if and only if there is a subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ lacunary uniform density zero and subsequence $S(y)$ defined by, $S_{ij}(y) = S_{ij}(x)$ for $(i, j) \in A^c$, such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(y)) = L.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 40B05; Secondary: 40A35, 40G15.

Keywords and phrases: multiple sequences, uniform statistical convergence.

Communicated by Erdinc Dundar.

Received December 29, 2017; Revised January 15, 2018

1. Introduction

The concept of the statistical convergence of a sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast [9]. Furthermore, Gökhan et al. [12] introduced the notion of pointwise and uniform statistical convergent of double sequences of real-valued function. Dündar and Atay [4-8] investigated the relation between I -convergence of double sequences. Fridy and Orhan [11] have studied lacunary statistical convergence of single sequences. Petterson and Savaş in [13] defined the lacunary statistical analogue for double sequences. Now, we recall that the definitions of concepts of ideal convergence and basic concepts [1, 2, 10]. The sequence S_{ij} of real numbers converges to L in the Pringsheim's sense, if for $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists K > 0$ such that

$$|S_{ij} - L| \leq \varepsilon, \forall i, j \geq K.$$

We write $\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij} = L$.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Let K_{nm} be the number of $(i, j) \in K$ such that $i \leq n, j \leq m$. If

$$d_2(K) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{nm}}{nm}$$

in the Pringsheim's sense then, we say that K has double natural density. Let is sequence S_{ij} of real numbers and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

The sequence $S = S_{ij}$ statistically converges to $L \in \mathbb{R}$ if $d_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$ for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

We write $st - \lim S_{ij} = L$. Let is set $X \neq \emptyset$. A class I of subsets of X is said to be an ideal in X provided the following statements hold:

- (i) $\emptyset \in I$;
- (ii) $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$;
- (iii) $A \in I, B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$.

The ideal is called nontrivial if $I \neq \{\emptyset\}$ and $X \in I^c$. A nontrivial ideal I is called admissible if it contains all the singleton sets. A nontrivial ideal I on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called strongly admissible if $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$ belong to I for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

A nonempty family F of subsets of a set X is called a *filter* if

- (i) $\emptyset \in F^c$;
- (ii) $A, B \in F \Rightarrow A \cap B \in F$;
- (iii) $A \in F, A \subset B \Rightarrow B \in F$.

In this paper, the focus is put on ideal $I_u \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ defined by: subset A belongs to the I_u if

$$\lim_{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| = 0$$

uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ in the Pringsheim's sense. That is subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is uniformly density zero.

The sequence $S = S_{ij}$ uniformly statistically converges to L if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I_u.$$

That is sequence $S = S_{ij}$ uniformly statistically converges to L if $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| < \varepsilon', \forall p, q \geq K, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We write $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

We denote with X a set of all double sequences of 0's and 1's, i.e.,

$$X = \{x = x_{ij} : x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let sequence $S = S_{ij}$ and $x \in X$. Then with $S(x)$ we denote a sequence defined following way:

$$S_{ij}(x) = S_{ij}, \text{ for } x_{ij} = 1.$$

The subsequence $S(x)$ of sequence S uniformly statistically converges to L if $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$ such that for $\forall p, q \geq K$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leq \varepsilon'.$$

We write $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$.

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing sequence $\Theta = (k_r)$ such that

$$k_0 = 0 \text{ and } h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$I_1 = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : i, j \leq k_1\},$$

$$I_2 = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : i, j \leq k_2\} \setminus I_1, \dots,$$

$$I_r = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : i, j \leq k_r\} \setminus (I_{r-1} \cup I_{r-2} \cup \dots \cup I_1), \text{ for } \forall r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The sequence S_{ij} lacunary statistically converges to L if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|I_r|} |\{(i, j) \in I_r : |S_{ij} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

We write $S_\Theta - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

Fridy proved that if $S = S_i$ sequence of real numbers and $\Theta = (k_r)$ lacunary sequence such that

$$1 < \liminf \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} \leq \limsup \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} < \infty.$$

Then, sequence $S = S_i$ statistically convergent if and only if it lacunary statistically convergent.

Let $S = S_{ij}$ double sequence of real numbers and $\Theta = (k_r)$ lacunary sequence of natural numbers.

A sequence $S = S_{ij}$ lacunary uniformly statistically converges to real number L if $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall r > r_0$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|I_r|} |\{(i, j) \in I_r : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \varepsilon',$$

Write $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

The subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is lacunary uniformly density zero if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall r > r_0$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|I_r|} |\{(i, j) \in I_r : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \leq \varepsilon.$$

2. New Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let is $\Theta = (k_r)$ lacunary sequence and $S = S_{ij}$ double sequence. Then, $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$ if and only if it $\exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ lacunary uniformly density zero and $\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L$, in the Pringsheim's sense, for*

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$. Then there is a sequence of natural numbers $(u_r)_{r=2}^{\infty}$ such that for $\forall l \geq u_r$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|I_l|} \left| \left\{ (i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$

Let

$$A = \bigcup_{r=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n, m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (n+i, m+j) : (i, j) \in \bigcup_{l=u_r}^{u_{r+1}-1} I_l, |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r} \right\}.$$

We define $x \in X$ the following way:

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

For $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall r \geq r_0$ we have $\frac{1}{r} \leq \varepsilon$. From the definition of the sequence x , such that for $l \geq u_{r_0}$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{n+i, m+j} = 1$, we have

$$|S_{n+i, m+j}(x) - L| = |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for $\forall i, j \geq k_{u_{r_0}}$ and $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{ij} = 1$, we have

$$|S_{ij}(x) - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence, the subsequence $S(x)$ converges to L , in the Pringsheim's sense.

For $\forall l \geq u_{r_0}$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ valid

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|I_l|} \left| \left\{ (i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| = 0, \text{ uniformly for } \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We assume that there is a subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| = 0, \text{ uniformly for } \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $\lim_{i, j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L$, in the Pringsheim's sense, for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A. \end{cases}$$

For $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall n, m \geq N$, we have

$$|S_{nm}(x) - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

For $\forall l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_{l-1} > N$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : n+i < N \vee m+j < N, |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : n+i, m+j \geq N, |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \leq \frac{2N(k_l - k_{l-1})}{k_l^2 - k_{l-1}^2} + \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : n+i, m+j \geq N, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \\ & \leq \frac{2N(k_l - k_{l-1})}{k_l^2 - k_{l-1}^2} + \frac{1}{|I_l|} |\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the first summand converges to zero uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The second summand converges to zero uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ due to the assumption.

So, $U st_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

Definition 2.2. The subsequence $S(x)$ of S lacunary uniformly statistically converges to L if $\forall \epsilon, \epsilon > 0, \exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall l > r_0$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \epsilon, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leq \epsilon.$$

Write $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$.

The characterization is true for subsequences.

Corollary 2.3. Let $x \in X$ and $S = S_{ij}$ double sequence and $\Theta = (k_r)$ lacunary sequence, then

$Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$ if and only if it $\exists A \subseteq \{(n, m) : x_{nm} = 1\}$ such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} = 0,$$

uniformly for $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$ and for subsequence $S(y)$ of the sequence S valid:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(y)) = L,$$

for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, x_{ij} = 1, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A, x_{ij} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$. Then there is a sequence of natural numbers $(u_r)_{r=2}^{\infty}$ such that for $\forall l > u_r$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r}, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leq \frac{1}{r}.$$

Let

$$A = \bigcup_{r=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (n+i, m+j) : (i, j) \in \bigcup_{l=u_r}^{u_{r+1}-1} I_l, |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r}, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1 \right\}.$$

For $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall r > r_0$ we have $\frac{1}{r} \leq \varepsilon$.

Let $u_r \leq l < u_{r+1}$. Then, for $\forall l > u_{r_0}$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \\ &= \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{r}, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $l > u_{r_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A^c, (i, j) \in I_l, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1$, then

$$|S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \leq \frac{1}{r} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for $n > k_{u_{r_0}} \vee m > k_{u_{r_0}+1}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$|S_{nm} - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(y)) = L,$$

for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, x_{ij} = 1, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A, x_{ij} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\exists A \subseteq \{(n, m) : x_{nm} = 1\}$ such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} = 0,$$

uniformly $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$ and for subsequence $S(y)$ of the sequence S valid:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_{ij}(y)) = L,$$

for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A, x_{ij} = 1, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A, x_{ij} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\forall n \geq N \vee \forall m \geq N$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$ and $(n, m) \in A^c$, we have

$$|S_{nm} - L| < \varepsilon.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \\ &= \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1, n+i, m+j \leq N\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \\ & \quad + \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \varepsilon, x_{n+i, m+j} = 1, n+i > N \vee m+i > N\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} \\ &\leq \frac{N^2}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} + \frac{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|}{|\{(i, j) \in I_l : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the first summand converges to zero uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The second summand converges to zero uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ due to the assumption. So, $Ust_{\Theta} - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$.

References

- [1] B. Altay and F. Başar, Some new spaces of double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 309(1) (2005), 70-90.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.12.020>
- [2] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems and A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328(1) (2007), 715-729.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.05.040>
- [3] F. Čunjaló and F. Destović, Subsequence characterization of uniform statistical convergence of double sequences, *Research and Communications in Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 9(1) (2017), 37-50.
- [4] E. Dündar, On rough I_2 -convergence of double sequences, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization* 37(4) (2016), 480-491.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2015.1136326>
- [5] E. Dundar and B. Atay, I_2 -convergence of double sequences of function, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application* 3(1) (2015), 111-121.
- [6] E. Dündar and B. Altay, I_2 -convergence and I_2 -Cauchy double sequences, *Acta Mathematica Scientia* 34(2) (2014), 343-353.
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(14\)60009-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(14)60009-6)
- [7] E. Dündar and B. Altay, I_2 -Uniform convergence of double sequences of functions, *Filomat* 30(5) (2016), 1273-1281.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1605273D>
- [8] E. Dündar and B. Atay, On some properties of I_2 -convergence and I_2 -Cauchy of double sequences, *Gen. Math. Notes* 7(1) (2011), 1-12.
- [9] H. Fast, Sur la convergenc statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2(3-4) (1951), 241-244.
- [10] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5(4) (1985), 301-314.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301>

- [11] J. A. Fridy and J. A. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific J. Math.* 160(1) (1993), 43-51.
- [12] A. Gökhan, M. Güngör and M. Et, Statistical convergence of double sequences of real-valued functions, *Int. Math. Forum* 2(5-8) (2007), 365-374.
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/imf.2007.07033>
- [13] R. F. Petterson and E. Savaş, Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences, *Math. Comm.* 10(1) (2005), 55-61.

