Research and Communications in Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Vol. 9, Issue 1, 2017, Pages 37-50 ISSN 2319-6939 Published Online on November 29, 2017 © 2017 Jyoti Academic Press http://jyotiacademicpress.org

SUBSEQUENCE CHARACTERIZAT ION OF UNIFORM STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE SEQUENCE

FIKRET ČUNJALO and FATIH DESTOVIĆ

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics University of Sarajevo Bosnia & Herzegovina e-mail: fcunjalo01@hs-hkb.ba fatih_d@msn.com

Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that almost every, in terms of P, subsequence S(x) of double sequence S is not uniformly statistically convergent to L if S converges to L uniformly statistically.

Almost every, in terms of measure P_A , subsequence S(x) of double sequence S converges to L, in the Pringsheim's sense, if S converges to L uniformly statistically and divergently in the Pringsheim's sense. This is not true for P.

1. Introduction

The concept of the statistical convergence of a sequences of reals was introduced by Fast [13]. Furthermore, Gökhan et al. [16] introduced the notion of pointwise and uniform statistical convergent of double sequences of real-valued function. Cakan and Altay [5] presented multi-

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40B05; Secondary 40A35, 40G15. Keywords and phrases: double sequences, uniform statistical convergence.

Received October 26, 2017; Revised November 18, 2017

dimensional analogues of the results presented by Fridy, Miller and Orhan [14, 15, 17]. Dündar and Atay [6-10] investigated the relation between *I*-convergence of double sequences. Now, we recall that the definitions of concepts of ideal convergence and basic concepts [1, 2, 11, 12, 18].

The sequence S_{ij} of real numbers converges to L in the Pringsheim's sense, if for $A\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists K > 0$ such that

$$|S_{ij} - L| \le \varepsilon, \ \forall i, \ j \ge K.$$

We write $\lim_{i, j \to \infty} S_{ij} = L.$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Let K_{nm} be the number of $(i, j) \in K$ such that $i \leq n, j \leq m$. If

$$d_2(K) = \lim_{n, m \to \infty} \frac{K_{nm}}{nm}$$

in the Pringsheim's sense. Then we say that K has double natural density. Let is sequence S_{ij} of real numbers and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}.$$

The sequence $S = S_{ij}$ statistically converges to $L \in \mathbb{R}$ if $d_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$ for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

We write $st - \lim S_{ii} = L$.

Let is set $X \neq \emptyset$. A class *I* of subsets of *X* is said to be an ideal in *X* provided the following statements hold:

- (i) $\emptyset \in I$;
- (ii) $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$;
- (iii) $A \in I, B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I.$

I is nontrivial ideal if $X \notin I$. A nontrivial ideal *I* is called admissible if $\{x\} \in I$ for $\forall x \in X$.

In this paper, the focus is put on ideal $I_u \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ defined by: subset A belongs to the I_u if

$$\lim_{p, q \to \infty} \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| = 0$$

uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ in the Pringsheim's sense. That is subset A of the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is uniformly statistically density zero.

The sequence $S = S_{ij}$ uniformly statistically converges to L if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |S_{ij} - L| \ge \varepsilon\} \in I_u.$$

That is sequence $S = S_{ij}$ uniformly statistically converges to L, if $\forall \epsilon, \epsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{pq}|\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| < \varepsilon', \forall p, q \ge K, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We write $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

We denote with *X* a set of all double sequences of 0's and 1's, i.e.,

$$X = \{x = x_{ij} : x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let sequence $S = S_{ij}$ and $x \in X$. Then with S(x) we denote a sequence defined following way:

$$S_{ij}(x) = S_{ij}, \text{ for } x_{ij} = 1,$$

which we refer to as subsequence of sequence S.

The mapping $x \to S(x)$ is a bijection of the set X to a set of all subsequences of the sequence S.

Then, under the Lebesgue measure on the set of all subsequences of the sequence S consider Lebesgue measure on the set X.

Let β smallest σ -algebra subsets of the set X which contains of subsets in the form of:

$$\{x = (x_{nm}) \in X : x_{n_1m_1} = a_1, x_{n_2m_2} = a_2, \dots, x_{n_km_k} = a_k\},\$$
$$a_1, \dots, a_k \in \{0, 1\}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In [3], it was proven that there is a unique Lebesgue measure P on the set X for which the following applies:

$$P(\{x = (x_{nm}) \in X : x_{n_1m_1} = a_1, x_{n_2m_2} = a_2, \dots, x_{n_km_k} = a_k\}) = \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

2. New Results

Almost every double sequences of 0's and 1's is not almost convergent [4]. This analogue is valid also for uniform statistical convergence.

Theorem 2.1. Almost every double sequence of 0's and 1's is not uniformly statistically convergent.

Proof. Let

$$A_n^k = \{ x \in X : x_{ij} = 1, k \le i, j < k + n \}.$$

Since
$$P(A_n^k) = \frac{1}{2^{n^2}}$$
, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n^2}} = +\infty.$$

Since A_n^k are independent, based on the second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma:

$$P\left(\limsup_{k} A_{n}^{k}\right) = 1.$$

We denote $A_n = \limsup_k A_n^k$, $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Then, P(A) = 1. For $\forall x \in A$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a block $n \times n$ composed of ones. It follows $\forall x \in A$ does not converge uniformly statistically to 0. We denote

$$B_n^k = \{x \in X : x_{ij} = 0, k \le i, j < k+n\}, B_n = \limsup_k B_n^k, B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$$

Completely analogously, we conclude that P(B) = 1. For $\forall x \in B$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a block $n \times n$ composed of zeros. It follows $\forall x \in B$ does not converge uniformly statistically to 1.

Every uniformly statistically convergent sequence $x \in X$ converges to 0 or 1. Then,

$$\{x \in X : x = (x_{ij}) \text{ convergent uniformly statistically}\}$$
$$= \{x \in X : Ust - \lim x_{ij} = 0\} \bigcup \{x \in X : Ust - \lim x_{ij} = 1\}$$
$$= A^c \bigcup B^c = (A \bigcap B)^c.$$

It follows

 $P(\{x \in X : x = (x_{ij}) \text{ convergent uniformly statistically}\}) = 1 - P(A \cap B) = 0.$

Definition 2.2. The subsequence S(x) of sequence S uniformly statistically converges to L, if $\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0, \exists K > 0$ such that for $\forall p, q \ge K$ and $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ provided that $x_{nm} = 1$, we have

$$\frac{|\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \ge \varepsilon, x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|}{|\{i < p, j < q : x_{n+i,m+j} = 1\}|} \le \varepsilon'.$$

We write $Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L$.

Almost every subsequence S(x) of statistically convergent double sequence S is statistically convergent. The analogue does not apply to uniformly statistically convergence.

Theorem 2.3. Let $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$ and the sequence S is divergent in the Pringsheim's sense. Then,

$$P(\{x \in X : Ust - \lim S_{ii}(x) = L\}) = 0.$$

Proof. Let

$$T_{uv}^{k} = \left\{ x \in X : x_{nk+i, nk+j} = 1, |S_{nk+i, nk+j} - L| \ge \varepsilon, \\ 0 < i, j < k, n = k \frac{u(u+1)}{2}, m = k \frac{v(v+1)}{2} \right\}.$$

Due to the divergence of the sequence $S, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \ge N$, such that $T_{uv}^k \neq \emptyset$ for infinitely (u, v). Then, $P(T_{uv}^k) \ge \frac{1}{2^{k^2}}$ for infinitely

 $(u, v), T_{uv}^k$ are independent and

$$\sum_{(u,v), T_{uv}^k \neq \emptyset} P(T_{uv}^k) \ge \sum_{(u,v), T_{uv}^k \neq \emptyset} \frac{1}{2^{k^2}} = +\infty.$$

Due to second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma, it follows:

$$P(T^k) = P\left(\limsup_{(u,v), T^k_{uv} \neq \emptyset} T^k_{uv}\right) = 1.$$

Hence, $P(T) = P\left(\bigcap_{k} T^{k}\right) = 1.$

Let $x \in T$. Then, $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \ge N$ such that

$$\frac{|\{x \in X : x_{nk+i, nk+j} = 1, |S_{nk+i, nk+j} - L| \ge \varepsilon, \ 0 < i, \ j < k\}|}{|\{i < p, \ j < q : x_{n+i, m+j} = 1\}|} = 1.$$

Hence, S(x) does not converge to *L* uniformly statistically. So,

$$P(\{x \in X : Ust - \lim S_{ij}(x) = L\}) = 0.$$

Example. Let $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniformly density zero, with the following characteristic:

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists (i, j) \in A, \text{ such that } i, j \geq k.$$

Let the sequence $S = (S_{ij})$ defined as

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A \\ \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A \end{cases}.$$

Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the following is valid:

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{pq} \left| \{ i < p, \, j < q \, : \, |S_{n+i, \, m+j} - 1| \ge \varepsilon \} \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{pq} \left| \{ i < p, \, j < q \, : \, (n+i, \, m+j) \in A \} \right| \to 0 \text{ for } p, \, q \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Respectively, $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = 1$. Let

$$B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i, j \ge k}^{\infty} \{ x \in X : x_{ij} = 1, (i, j) \in A \}.$$

Let is the infinite sequence $(S_{i_k j_k})$ such that $i_k \ge k$, $j_k \ge k$ and $(i_k, j_k) \in A$. Then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(\{x \in X : x_{i_k j_k} = 1\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} = \infty.$$

Due to second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma, P(B) = 1.

Since subsequence S(x) of S does not converge to 1 in the Pringsheim's sense if and only if $x \in B$, it

$$P(\{x \in X : \lim_{i,j \to \infty} S_{ij}(x) = 1, \text{ in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 0.$$

Let $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. There is a unique measure P_A on X with the property:

$$\begin{split} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{ij} = 1\}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & (i, j) \notin A \\ \frac{1}{2^{i+j}}, & (i, j) \in A \end{cases} \\ P_A(\{x \in X : x_{i_1j_1} = a_1, \cdots, x_{i_kj_k} = a_k\}) \\ &= P_A(\{x \in X : x_{i_1j_1} = a_1\}) \cdots P_A(\{x \in X : x_{i_kj_k} = a_k\}). \end{split}$$

Analogue theorem is valid: Let the sequence $S = (S_{ij})$ be divergent in the Pringsheim's sense. Then, S statistically converges to $L \Leftrightarrow \exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with density zero, such that

$$P_A(\{x \in X : \lim_{i, j \to \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L, \text{ in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 1.$$

Theorem 2.4. Let the sequence $S = (S_{ij})$ divergent in the Pringsheim's sense. Then, S uniformly statistically converges to $L \Leftrightarrow \exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniformly density zero, such that

$$P_A(\{x \in X : \lim_{i, j \to \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L, in the Pringsheim's sense\}) = 1.$$

Proof. Because of Lemma 2.1, the following is valid: $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L \Rightarrow \exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniformly density zero, such that the subsequence S(y) of S converges to L, in the Pringsheim's sense, for

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A \end{cases}$$

Not generalizing we can assume that L is not a point accumulation of the subsequence S(x), for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \in A \\ 0, & (i, j) \notin A \end{cases}.$$

Hence, the subsequence S(z) converges to L, in the Pringsheim's sense if and only if $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : z_{ij} = 1, i, j \ge M\} \cap A = \emptyset$$

Let

$$B_M = \{x \in X : x_{ij} = 1, i, j \ge M, (i, j) \in A\}, B = \bigcap_{M=1}^{\infty} B_M.$$

Then, $\forall M \in \mathbb{N}$, is,

$$P_A(B) \le P_A(B_M) = \sum_{i, j \ge M, (i, j) \in A} \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} \le \sum_{i, j \ge M} \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} = \frac{1}{2^{2M-2}}.$$

Hence, $P_A(B) = 0$. Since the set B is a set of all $x \in X$ for which S(x) does not converge to L, in the Pringsheim's sense. It

$$P_A(\{x \in X : \lim_{i, j \to \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L, \text{ in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 1.$$

Let the sequence S not be uniformly statistically convergent and let $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary uniformly density zero. Then, due to the lemma, the subsequence S(x) is divergent in the Pringsheim's sense for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A \end{cases}$$

The following cases can be presented:

(a)
$$\exists (n_k), (m_k), n_k \nearrow, m_k \nearrow, (n_k, m_k) \notin A, S_{n_k m_k} \ge k \text{ for } \forall k,$$

(b) $\exists (n_k), (m_k), n_k \nearrow, m_k \nearrow, (n_k, m_k) \notin A, S_{n_k m_k} \le -k \text{ for } \forall k,$
(c) $\exists (n_k^1), (m_k^1), \exists (n_k^2), (m_k^2), (n_k^1, m_k^1), (n_k^2, m_k^2) \notin A, S_{n_k^1 m_k^1} \le \lambda$
 $< \mu \le S_{n_k^2 m_k^2}.$

It follows:

(a)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k m_k} = 1\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} = \infty,$$

(b) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k m_k} = 1\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} = \infty,$
(c) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k^1 m_k^1} = 1\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_A(\{x \in X : x_{n_k^2 m_k^2} = 1\}) = \infty.$

Then, due to second part of Borel-Cantelli lemma, the following is valid:

(a) P_A({x ∈ X : x_{nkmk} = 1 for infinite k}) = 1,
(b) P_A({x ∈ X : x_{nkmk} = 1 for infinite k}) = 1,
(c) P_A({x ∈ X : x_{nkmk} = x_{nkmk} = x_{nkmk} = 1 for infinite k}) = 1.

It follows:

$$P_A(\{x \in X : S(x) \text{ divergent in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 1.$$

Hence,

$$P_A(\{x \in X : S(x) \text{ convergent in the Pringsheim's sense}\}) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.5. Ust $-\lim S_{ij} = L \Leftrightarrow \exists A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ uniformly density zero such that $\lim_{i, j \to \infty} S_{ij}(x) = L$, in the Pringsheim's sense for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$. Then, there is a sequence of natural numbers $(r_k)_{k=2}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{pq}\left|\left\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \ge \frac{1}{k}\right\}\right| \le \frac{1}{k^2}, \forall p, q \ge r_k, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let

$$\begin{split} A &= \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n, m=1}^{\infty} \\ & \left\{ (n+i, m+j) : i, \ j \ge r_k, \ i < r_{k+1} \lor j < r_{k+1}, \ \left| S_{n+i, m+j} - L \right| \ge \frac{1}{k} \right\}. \end{split}$$

We define $x \in X$ the following way:

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A \end{cases}.$$

For $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\frac{1}{k} \leq \varepsilon, \forall k \geq k_0$. From the definition

of the sequence *x*, we have

$$|S_{n+i, m+j}(x) - L| = |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \le \varepsilon, \ \forall i, \ j \ge r_{k_0}, \ \forall n, \ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, the subsequence S(x) converges to L in the Pringsheim's sense. For $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that,

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{1}{\left(k_0 - 1\right)^2} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $p, q > r_{k_0}$, then

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : i \leq r_{k_0} \lor j \leq r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \\ &+ \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : i, j > r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|. \\ &\frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : i \leq r_{k_0} \lor j \leq r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{pq} \left|\{i < p, j < q : i \leq r_{k_0} \lor j \leq r_{k_0}, (n+i, m+j) \in A\}|\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{pq} \left|\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i, m+j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{k_0 - 1}\}\right| \end{split}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(k_0 - 1)^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \ \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : i, j > r_{k_0}, (n + i, m + j) \in A\}|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, j < q : i, j > r_{k_0}, i \leq r_{k_0 + 1} \lor j \leq r_{k_0 + 1}, |S_{n + i, m + j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{k_0} \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, j < q : i, j > r_{k_0 + 1}, i \leq r_{k_0 + 2} \lor j \leq r_{k_0 + 2}, |S_{n + i, m + j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{k_0 + 1} \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \dots \leq \sum_{k = k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \ \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists r_{k_0}$, such that

$$\frac{1}{pq} \left| \left\{ i < p, \, j < q : \left(n+i, \, m+j\right) \in A \right\} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon, \, \forall p, \, q \geq r_{k_0}, \, \forall n, \, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Respectively, A is uniformly density zero.

We assume that there is a subset A of set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, uniformly density zero such that subsequence S(x) of S converges to L, in the Pringsheim's sense, for

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) \notin A \\ 0, & (i, j) \in A \end{cases}.$$

Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $|S_{ij} - L| \leq \varepsilon, \forall i \geq n_0, \forall j \geq m_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : n+i < n_0 \lor m+j < m_0, |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : n+i \ge n_0, m+j \ge m_0, |S_{n+i,m+j} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : n + i < n_0 \lor m + j < m_0\}|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : n + i \ge n_0, m + j \ge m_0, (n + i, m + j) \in A\}|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : n + i < n_0 \lor m + j < m_0\}|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{pq} |\{i < p, j < q : (n + i, m + j) \in A\}|.$$

Obviously, the first summand converges to zero uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The second summand converges to zero uniformly on $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ due to the assumption. So $Ust - \lim S_{ij} = L$.

References

- B. Altay and F. Başar, Some new spaces of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 309(1) (2005), 70-90.
- [2] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems and A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328 (2007), 715-729.
- [3] M. Crnjac, F. Čunjalo and H. I. Miller, Subsequence characterizations of statistical convergence of double sequences, Rad. Mat. 12 (2004), 163-175.
- [4] F. Čunjalo, Almost convergences of double subsequences, Filomat 22 (2008), 87-93.
- [5] C. Çakan and B. Altay, Statistically boundedness and statistical core of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 317 (2006), 690-697.
- [6] E. Dündar, On rough I₂-convergence of double sequences numerical, Functional Analysis and Optimization 37(4) (2016), 480-491.
- [7] E. Dündar and B. Atay, I₂-convergence of double sequences of function, Electro. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 3(1) (2015), 111-121.
- [8] E. Dündar and B. Atay, I₂-convergence and I₂-Cauchy of double sequences, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 34 B(2) (2014), 343-353.
- [9] E. Dündar and B. Atay, I₂-uniform convergence of double sequences of function, Filomat 30(5) (2016), 1273-1281.
- [10] E. Dündar and B. Atay, On some properties of I_2 -convergence and I_2 -Cauchy of double sequences, Gen. Math. Notes 7(1) (2011), 1-12.

FIKRET ČUNJALO and FATIH DESTOVIĆ

- [11] E. Dündar and C. Çakan, Rough convergence of double sequences, Demonstratio Mathematica 47 (2014), 638-651.
- [12] E. Dündar and Y. Sever, Multipliers for bounded statistical convergence of double sequences, Int. Math. Forum 7(52) (2012), 2581-2587.
- [13] H. Fast, Sur la convergenc statistique, Collog. Math. 2 (1951), 241-244.
- [14] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis 5 (1985), 301-313.
- [15] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Statistical limit superior and inferior, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 3625-3631.
- [16] A. Gökhan, M. Güngör and M. Et, Statistical convergence of double sequences of real-valued function, Int. Math. Forum 2(8) (2007), 365-374.
- [17] H. I. Miller and C. Orhan, On almost convergent and statistically convergent subsequences, Acta. Math. Hung. 93 (2001), 135-151.
- [18] E. Tas and T. Yurdakadmin, Characterization of uniform statistical convergence for double sequences, Miskolc Mathematical Notes 13 (2012), 543-553.

50