**Transnational Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications** Vol. 8, Issue 1, 2020, Pages 75-86 ISSN 2347-9086 Published Online on March 15, 2021 © 2020 Jyoti Academic Press http://jyotiacademicpress.org

# **AVERAGES OF FRACTIONAL PARTS**

## **RAFAEL JAKIMCZUK**

División Matemática Universidad Nacional de Luján Buenos Aires Argentina e-mail: jakimczu@mail.unlu.edu.ar

## Abstract

Let us consider a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $a_n$  such that A(x) is the distribution function of the sequence. That is,  $A(x) = \sum_{a_n \le x} 1$ . We prove the asymptotic formula  $\sum_{a_n \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a_n} \right\} = CA(x) + o(A(x))$ , where C is a constant depending of the sequence  $a_n$ . The distribution functions A(x) considered are very general. The methods used are very elementary.

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

It is well-known the formula proved by Dirichlet in 1849.

$$\sum_{n \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} \right\} = (1 - \gamma)x + o(x), \tag{1}$$

where *n* denotes a positive integer and  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A99, 11B99.

Keywords and phrases: fractional parts, average, asymptotic formulas. Received December 2, 2020

## RAFAEL JAKIMCZUK

In 1898, de la Vallée Poussin [1] obtained some generalizations of the Dirichlet's formula doing some restrictions on the divisors n, equation (1) is also known as de la Vallée Poussin's formula. De la Vallée Poussin [1] consider numbers in arithmetic progression and prime numbers. Pillichshammer [9] obtained another generalization of the Dirichlet's formula also doing a restriction on the divisors n. Pillichshammer [9] consider k-th powers, where  $k \ge 2$  is a positive integer. In this article, we prove that all these restrictions are particular cases of more general theorems. The proofs are simple, short and very elementary.

Let us consider a strictly increasing sequence  $a_n$  of positive integers. We shall denote a positive integer in this sequence a. Let A(x) be the number of a not exceeding x, that is, A(x) is the distribution function of the sequence  $a_n$ ,  $A(x) = \sum_{a \le x} 1$ . In this article we study the more general sum  $\sum_{a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\}$ . We shall prove that  $\sum_{a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = CA(x) + o(A(x))$ , where C is a constant depending of the sequence  $a_n$ . The distribution functions A(x) considered are very general (see below).

We shall need the following well-known theorem (Abel summation).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $c_n (n \ge 1)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Let us consider the function

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \le x} c_n.$$

Suppose that f(x) has a continuous derivative f'(x) on the interval  $[1, \infty]$ , then the following formula holds:

$$\sum_{n \le x} c_n f(n) = A(x)f(x) - \int_1^x A(t)f'(t)dt.$$

Proof. See ([2], Chapter XXII).

We also shall need the following definition.

**Definition 1.2.** Let us consider a positive function f(x) such that f'(x) is positive, strictly decreasing and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$ . The function f(x) is of slow increase if and only if the following limit holds:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)} = 0.$$

Typical functions of slow increase are  $\log x$ ,  $\log \log x$ ,  $\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}$ , etc. The functions of slow increase are studied in [7]. We shall need the following properties of the functions of slow increase:

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f(x)}{x^{\alpha}}=0,$$

for all  $\alpha > 0$  and

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(Cx)}{f(x)} = 1,$$
(2)

for all C > 0.

Note that

$$\sum_{a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = x \sum_{a \le x} \frac{1}{a} - \sum_{a \le x} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{a} \right\rfloor.$$
(3)

We have the following general theorem.

Theorem 1.3. We have the equation

$$\sum_{\substack{\underline{x}\\\underline{k}(4)$$

**Proof.** Note that if  $\frac{x}{j+1} < a \le \frac{x}{j}$ , then  $\left\lfloor \frac{x}{a} \right\rfloor = j$ . Consequently,

$$\sum_{\substack{\underline{x}\\k} < a \le x} \left\lfloor \frac{\underline{x}}{a} \right\rfloor = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \left( A\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{j}\right) - A\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{j+1}\right) \right)$$
$$= \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} A\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{j}\right) \right) - kA\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{k}\right).$$

The theorem is proved.

More precise formulas can be obtained if we have more information on A(x). We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** Suppose that  $c > 0, 0 < \alpha \le 1$  and f(x) is a function of slow increase. If  $A(x) \sim cx^{\alpha}$ , then

$$\sum_{\frac{x}{k} < a \le x} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{a} \right\rfloor = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j^{\alpha}} - \frac{k}{k^{\alpha}} \right) cx^{\alpha} + o(x^{\alpha}).$$
(5)

If 
$$A(x) \sim \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)}$$
, then  

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{k} < \alpha \le x}} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{\alpha} \right\rfloor = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j^{\alpha}} - \frac{k}{k^{\alpha}} \right) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)} + o\left( \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)} \right).$$
(6)

**Proof.** Equation (5) is an immediate consequence of Equation (4). Equation (6) is an immediate consequence of Equation (4) and the limit

 $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f\left(\frac{x}{j}\right)}{f(x)} = 1$  (see Equation (2)). The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose that  $A(x) \sim cx$ , where c > 0. If  $k \ge 2$  is an arbitrary but fixed positive integer, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{k} < a \le x}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i} - \log k \right) \right) cx + o(x)$$
$$= \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i} - \log k \right) \right) A(x) + o(A(x)).$$
(7)

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{a\leq x} 1 = A(x).$$

If we put  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  and apply Theorem 1.1, then we obtain

$$\sum_{a \le x} \frac{1}{a} = A(x) \frac{1}{x} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{A(t)}{t^{2}} dt.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{a \leq \frac{x}{k}} \frac{1}{a} = A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)\frac{k}{x} + \int_{1}^{\frac{x}{k}} \frac{A(t)}{t^2} dt,$$

and consequently,

$$x \sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{k} \le a \le x}} \frac{1}{a} = \left( 1 - \frac{A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)}{A(x)}k + \left(\frac{x}{A(x)}\int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x}\frac{A(t)}{t^2}dt\right) \right) A(x).$$
(8)

Now, we have

$$\frac{x}{A(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{A(t)}{t^{2}} dt = \left(\frac{1}{c} + o(1)\right) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{ct + o(t)}{t^{2}} dt = \left(\frac{1}{c} + o(1)\right) c \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{1}{t} dt + \left(\frac{1}{c} + o(1)\right) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} o(1) \frac{1}{t} dt = \log k + o(1).$$
(9)

Substituting (9) into (8) and using (3) and (5) we obtain (7). The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 1.6.** Suppose that  $A(x) \sim \frac{x}{f(x)}$ , where f(x) is a function of

slow increase. If  $k \ge 2$  is an arbitrary but fixed positive integer, then

$$\sum_{\substack{x \\ k} < a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i} - \log k \right) \right) \frac{x}{f(x)} + o\left( \frac{x}{f(x)} \right)$$
$$= \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i} - \log k \right) \right) A(x) + o(A(x)).$$
(10)

Proof. As in Theorem 1.5 we have Equation (8). Now, we have

$$\frac{x}{A(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{A(t)}{t^{2}} dt = (1 + o(1))f(x) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{t + o(t)}{f(t)t^{2}} dt$$
$$= (1 + o(1))f(x) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{1}{tf(t)} dt + (1 + o(1))f(x) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} o(1) \frac{1}{tf(t)} dt$$
$$= \log k + o(1).$$
(11)

Since (see Equation (2))

$$\log k + o(1) = \frac{f(x)}{f(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{1}{t} \le f(x) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{1}{tf(t)} dt \le \frac{f(x)}{f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)} \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{1}{t} = \log k + o(1),$$

and consequently,

$$f(x) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{1}{tf(t)} dt = \log k + o(1),$$
$$f(x) \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} o(1) \frac{1}{tf(t)} dt = o(1).$$

Substituting (11) into (8) and using (3) and (6) we obtain (10). The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 1.7.** Suppose that  $A(x) \sim cx^{\alpha}$ , where c > 0 and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . If  $k \ge 2$  is an arbitrary but fixed positive integer, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{k} < a \le x}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i^{\alpha}} - \int_{1}^{k} t^{-\alpha} dt \right) \right) cx^{\alpha} + o(x^{\alpha})$$
$$= \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i^{\alpha}} - \int_{1}^{k} t^{-\alpha} dt \right) \right) A(x) + o(A(x)).$$
(12)

**Proof.** The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.5. Note that in this case we have

$$\frac{x}{A(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{ct^{\alpha}}{t^{2}} dt = \frac{k^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} + o(1) = \int_{1}^{k} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} dt + o(1).$$

The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 1.8.** Suppose that  $A(x) \sim \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)}$ , where f(x) is a function of slow increase and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . If  $k \ge 2$  is an arbitrary but fixed positive integer, then

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{k} < a \le x}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i^{\alpha}} - \int_{1}^{k} t^{-\alpha} dt \right) \right) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)} + o\left( \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)} \right)$$
$$= \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i^{\alpha}} - \int_{1}^{k} t^{-\alpha} dt \right) \right) A(x) + o(A(x)).$$
(13)

**Proof.** The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.6. Note that in this case we have

$$\frac{x}{A(x)} \int_{\frac{x}{k}}^{x} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{f(t)t^{2}} dt = \frac{k^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} + o(1) = \int_{1}^{k} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} dt + o(1).$$

The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 1.9.** Suppose that either  $A(x) \sim cx^{\alpha}$  or  $A(x) \sim \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)}$ , where

 $c > 0, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and f(x) is a function of slow increase. Suppose also that

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{k} < a \le x}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = h(k)A(x) + o(A(x)) \quad (k \ge 2)$$

and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} h(k) = l > 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = lA(x) + o(A(x)).$$

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = \frac{\sum_{a \le \frac{x}{k}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\}}{A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)} \frac{A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)}{A(x)} A(x) + (h(k) - l)A(x) + lA(x) + o(A(x)).$$

That is,

$$\frac{\sum_{a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\}}{A(x)} - l = \frac{\sum_{a \le \frac{x}{k}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\}}{A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)} \frac{A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)}{A(x)} + (h(k) - l) + o(1).$$

Note that

$$0 \leq \frac{\displaystyle \sum_{a \leq \frac{x}{k}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\}}{A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)} \leq 1,$$

and

$$\frac{A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)}{A(x)} \sim \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}.$$

Therefore given  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrarily small there exists a k sufficiently large such that if  $x \ge x_{\epsilon}$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{\sum_{a \le x} \left\{\frac{x}{a}\right\}}{A(x)} - l\right| \le \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon = 3\epsilon \quad (x \ge x_{\epsilon}).$$

The theorem is proved.

The Euler's constant is defined in the form

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j} - \log k \right) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j} - \int_1^k \frac{1}{t} \, dt \right) = \gamma.$$

In the following theorem we generalize this definition.

**Theorem 1.10.** If  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , we have

$$\int_{1}^{k} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} dt - \sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{1}{j^{\alpha}} = (1 - l_{\alpha}) + o(1),$$

where  $0 < l_{\alpha} < 1$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j^{\alpha}} - \int_{1}^{k} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} dt = l_{\alpha} + o(1).$$

In particular if  $\alpha$  = 1, then  $\mathit{l}_1$  =  $\gamma.$ 

**Proof.** Note that the function  $g(t) = \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}$  is strictly decreasing in the interval  $[1, \infty]$  and g(1) = 1. The integral  $\int_{1}^{k} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} dt$  is the area below the function g(t) in the interval [1, k]. The sum  $\sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{1}{j^{\alpha}}$  is the sum of the areas of k-1 rectangles of base 1 and height  $\frac{1}{j^{\alpha}}(j=2, 3, ..., k)$ . Therefore  $\int_{1}^{k} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} dt - \sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{1}{j^{\alpha}}$  is the sum of the areas of the k-1 figures "as triangles" above of the rectangles. Clearly this sum of areas of figures

"as triangles" is strictly increasing and bounded by 1. Therefore, this series has sum  $0 < 1 - l_{\alpha} < 1$ . The theorem is proved.

Now, we can establish and to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.11.** Suppose that  $A(x) \sim cx$ , where c > 0, then

$$\sum_{a\leq x}\left\{\frac{x}{a}\right\}=c(1-\gamma)x+o(x)=(1-\gamma)A(x)+o(A(x)).$$

Suppose that  $A(x) \sim \frac{x}{f(x)}$ , then

$$\sum_{a \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\} = (1 - \gamma) \frac{x}{f(x)} + o\left(\frac{x}{f(x)}\right) = (1 - \gamma)A(x) + o(A(x)).$$

Suppose that  $A(x) \sim cx^{\alpha}$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$\sum_{a\leq x}\left\{\frac{x}{a}\right\} = c(1-l_{\alpha})x^{\alpha} + o(x^{\alpha}) = (1-l_{\alpha})A(x) + o(A(x)).$$

Suppose that  $A(x) \sim \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)}$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$\sum_{\alpha \le x} \left\{ \frac{x}{\alpha} \right\} = (1 - l_{\alpha}) \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)} + o\left(\frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)}\right) = (1 - l_{\alpha})A(x) + o(A(x)).$$

**Proof.** It is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10. The theorem is proved.

**Remark 1.12.** By use of Theorems 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.11, we can easily obtain asymptotic formulas for the sum

$$\sum_{a \le \frac{x}{k}} \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \right\}.$$

**Example 1.13.** There are many sequences in number theory such that  $A(x) \sim cx \ (c > 0)$ . That is, sequences with positive density. The sequence a of all positive integers. The sequence a of integers in arithmetic progression. The sequence a of h-free numbers  $(h \ge 2)$ , where  $A(x) \sim \frac{1}{\zeta(h)}x$  (see, for example, [5]). In particular, for the sequence of squarefree numbers or quadratfrei numbers we have  $A(x) \sim \frac{6}{z^2}x$ , etc.

**Example 1.14.** There are many sequences in number theory such that  $A(x) \sim cx^{\alpha}$  (c > 0) ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ). The sequence a of k-th powers  $(k \ge 2)$  where  $A(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{k}}$ . The sequence a of all perfect powers where  $A(x) \sim x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (see [4]). The sequence a of h-full numbers ( $h \ge 2$ ) since that  $A(x) \sim cx^{\frac{1}{h}}$ , where the constant c depends of h (see, for example, either [3] or [6], for elementary methods), etc.

**Example 1.15.** There exist infinite sequences of positive integers in number theory such that  $A(x) \sim \frac{x^{\alpha}}{f(x)}$ , where  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and f(x) is a function of slow increase. The sequence of prime numbers, the sequence of prime powers, the sequence of numbers with exactly *h* prime factors in their prime factorization and infinite sequences of composite numbers with certain restrictions on their prime factorization (see [8]), etc.

### RAFAEL JAKIMCZUK

#### Acknowledgement

The author is very grateful to Universidad Nacional de Luján.

#### References

- [1] C. de la Vallée Poussin, Sur les valeurs moyennes de certaines functions arithmétiques, Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles 22 (1898), 84-90.
- [2] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Oxford, 1960.
- [3] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta-Function, Dover, 2003.
- [4] R. Jakimczuk, On the distribution of perfect powers, Journal of Integer Sequences 14 (2011), Article 11.8.5.
- [5] R. Jakimczuk, A simple proof that the square-free numbers have density  $6/\pi^2$ , Gulf Journal of Mathematics 1(2) (2013), 189-192.
- [6] R. Jakimczuk, The kernel of powerful numbers, International Mathematical Forum 12(15) (2017), 721-730.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.12988/imf.2017.7759

- [7] R. Jakimczuk, Functions of slow increase and integer sequences, Journal of Integer Sequences 13 (2010), Article 10.1.1.
- [8] R. Jakimczuk, Composite numbers V, Applied Mathematical Sciences 13(9) (2019), 423-430.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2019.9350

[9] F. Pillichshammer, Euler's constant and averages of fractional parts, American Mathematical Monthly 117(1) (2010), 78-83.