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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present and study new forms of Lindelofness in ideal topological spaces viz; $\beta \rho I$-Lindelofness and by utilizing the concept of $\beta$-open sets. Also, we study Lindelofness of subset of ideal topological spaces.


## 1. Introduction

Research in the field of ideal topological spaces extensively developed in the last few decades. In 1990, Jankovic and Hamlett [9] obtained new topologies using old ones and introduced the notion of ideal topological spaces. Hamlett [7] firstly defined Lindelof spaces with respect to an ideal and investigated the basic properties of the concept, its relation to known concepts, and its preservation by functions, subspaces, pre-images and
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products. Recently, Qahis [14] introduced and studied almost Lindelof modulo an ideal spaces. He discussed their properties and studied the effects of functions on them. In 1983, Abd El-Monsef et al. [1] initiated the study of $\beta$-open sets in topological spaces and the analogous notion of semi-preopen set was given exclusively by Andrijevic [2] and additionally examined by Ganster and Andrijevic [6]. More application and background of $\beta$-open sets was discussed by Caldas and Jafari [3]. Recently, Catalan et al. [4] produced a study on $\beta$-open sets and ideals in topological spaces and contributed on the concepts of $\beta$-compactiness and $\beta$-connectedness. An ideal $I$ on a topological space ( $X, \tau$ ) is a non empty collection of subsets of $X$ which satisfies (i) $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$ implies $B \in I$ and (ii) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$. Given an ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ and a subset $A$ of $X$, a set operator (.) $)^{*}: \mathscr{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}(X)$ called a local function of $A$ with respect to $\tau$ and $I$ is defined to be the set $A^{*}(I, \tau)=\{x \in X:(A \cap U) \notin I$, for every $\left.U \in U_{x}\right\}$, where $U_{x}=\{U \in \tau: x \in U\}$. The Kuratowski closure operator $C l^{*}($.$) for a topology \tau^{*}(I, \tau)$, called the $*$-topology, finer than $\tau$, is defined by $C l^{*}(A)=A \cup A^{*}(I, \tau)$. Where no confusion will arise we write $A^{*}$ for $A^{*}(I, \tau)$ and $\tau^{*}$ for $\tau^{*}(I, \tau)$. For an ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) the collection $\{U \backslash A: U \in \tau$ and $A \in I\}$ is a base for $\tau^{*}$. The aim of this paper is to apply the concept of $\beta$-open sets to introduce and examine some types of Lindelofness modulo ideal called $\beta \rho I$-Lindelofness. Throughout this paper, $(X, \tau, I)$ denotes a topological space $(X, \tau)$ with ideal $I$ on $(X, \tau)$. For a subset $A$ of a space $(X, \tau)$, $c l(A), \operatorname{int}(A)$ and $(X \backslash A)$ denote respectively the closure, interior and the complement of $A$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. An ideal $I$ on a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is a collection of subsets of $X$ such that if
(i) $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $B \in I$ (heredity).
(ii) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$, then $A \cup B \in I$ (finite additivity).

Definition 2.2. An ideal $I$ in a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be [9] $\sigma$-ideal if it is countably additive. That is if $\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is any countable collection of members $I$, then $\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha} \in I$.

Lemma 2.3. If $I$ and $J$ are ideals on a topological space $(X, \tau)$, then the following hold:
(a) $[9] I \cap J=\{A: A \in I$ and $A \in J\}$ is an ideal on $X$.
(b) $[9] I \vee J=\{A \cup B: A \in I$ and $B \in J\}$ is an ideal on $X$.

Definition 2.4. A subset $A$ of a space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be $\beta$-open [1] (semi-preopen [2]) if $A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(c l(A)))$. The complement of a $\beta$-open set is called a $\beta$-closed set. The collection of all $\beta$-open sets in a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is denoted as $\beta O(X, \tau)$. The $\beta$-closure of $A$ is the intersection over all $\beta$-closed sets containing $A$ and is denoted by $\beta c l(A)$. The $\beta$-interior of $A$ is the union over all $\beta$-open sets contained in $A$ and is denoted by $\beta \operatorname{int}(A)$.

Remark 2.5. By Definition 2.4 it is clear that given any topological space $(X, \tau)$, if $A \in \tau$, then $A \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(c l(A)))$. Thus if $A \in \tau$, then $A \in \beta O(X, \tau)$. The complement of a $\beta$-open set is called a $\beta$-closed set and since every open set is $\beta$-open, the complement of every open set is $\beta$-closed. Therefore, every closed set is $\beta$-closed. Also $\beta O(X, \tau) \subseteq \beta O$ $\left(X, \tau^{*}\right)$ since $\tau^{*}$ is finer than $\tau$. And $\beta O(X, \tau)$ is closed under arbitrary union.

Definition 2.6 ([4]). A subset $A$ of an ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is said to $\beta$-open with respect to $I$ (or $\beta I$-open) if there exists an open set $U$ such that
(i) $(U \backslash A) \in I$, and
(ii) $(A \backslash \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(c l(U)))) \in I$.

Definition 2.7 ([4]). A subset $A$ of an ideal topological space $(x, \tau, I)$ is said to be generalized closed with respect to ideal I (briefly Ig-closed ) if $(c l(A) \backslash U) \in I$, whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U \in \tau$.

Definition 2.8. A subset $A$ of an ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is said to be $I g \beta$-closed if $(\beta c l(A) \backslash U) \in I$, whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U \in \beta O(X, \tau)$.

Definition 2.9. A subset $A$ of a space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be generalized- $\beta$-closed $(g \beta$-closed) if $\beta c l(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U \in \beta O(X, \tau)$.

Definition 2.10. A topological space ( $X, \tau$ ) is said to be:
(a) [11] $\beta$-Hausdorff or $\left(\beta T_{2}\right)$ space if given any two distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exist disjoint $\beta$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U, y \in V$.
(b) $\beta$-Urysohn or $\left(\beta T_{2 \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ space if given any two distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exist disjoint $\beta$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $\beta c l(U) \cap \beta c l(V)=0$.
(c) [11] $\beta$-normal if given any two disjoint closed sets $A$ and $B$ in $X$ there exist disjoint $\beta$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$.
(d) Completely $\beta$-normal if given any two disjoint sets $A$ and $B$ in $X$ there exist disjoint $\beta$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$.

Definition 2.11 ([11]). A function $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \delta)$ is said to be:
(a) $\beta$-irresolute if $f^{-1}(U)$ is $\beta$-open in $X$ whenever $U$ is $\beta$-open in $Y$.
(b) M $M$-open if $f(U)$ is $\beta$-open in $Y$ whenever $U$ is $\beta$-open in $X$.

Lemma 2.12 ([12]). If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \delta)$ is $\beta$-irresolute surjection and $I$ is an ideal on $X$, then
(a) $J=\left\{A \subseteq Y: f^{-1}(A) \in I\right\}$ is ideal on $Y$.
(b) $f(I)=\{f(A): A \in I\}$ is ideal on $Y$.

Lemma 2.13 ([11]). If $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \delta)$ is $M \beta$-open injection and $J$ is an ideal on $Y$, then $f^{-1}(J)=\left\{f^{-1}(A): A \in J\right\}$ is ideal on $X$.

Definition 2.14. Let $\mathscr{U}=\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of subsets of a topological space $X$ and $A \subseteq X$. The family $\mathscr{U}$ is said to be
(i) a cover for $A$ if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$;
(ii) a finite (resp., countable) cover for $A$ if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$ and $\Delta$ is finite (resp., countable);
(iii) an open (resp., closed) cover for $A$ if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\alpha}$ is open (resp., closed) $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$.

Definition 2.15. Let $\mathscr{U}=\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a cover for $A \subseteq X$, then $\mathscr{V}=\left\{V_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ is said to be a subcover of $\mathscr{U}$ if $\mathscr{V}$ is a cover for $A$ and $V_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{U}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$. More generally $\mathscr{V}$ is said to be a refinement of $\mathscr{U}$ if $\mathscr{V}$ is a cover for $A$ and $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \exists \alpha_{\lambda} \in \Delta$ such that $V_{\lambda} \subseteq U_{\alpha_{\lambda}}$. Every cover is a subcover for itself.

Definition 2.16 ([8]). An ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ is said to be $I$-compact if for every open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ for $X$, there exists a finite subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$.

Definition 2.17. An ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ is said to be countably I-compact if for every countable open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ for $X$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n} U_{\alpha} \in I$.

Definition 2.18 ([11]). A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is said to be $\beta$-compact (resp., countably $\beta$-compact) if for every $\beta$-open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ (resp., countable $\beta$-open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ ) for $X$, there exists a finite subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ (resp., $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that $\left(X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right)$ (resp., $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n} U_{\alpha}$ ).

Lemma 2.19. Every finite discrete space is $\beta$-compact and countably $\beta$-compact.

Definition 2.20. A topological space ( $X, \tau$ ) is said to be $\beta$-Lindelof if for every $\beta$-open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ for $X$, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left(X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right)$.

Lemma 2.21. Every $\beta$-compact space is countably $\beta$-compact.
Lemma 2.22. Every $\beta$-compact space is $\beta$-Lindelof.
Definition 2.23. An ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ is said to be I-Lindelof if for every open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ for $X$, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$.

Lemma 2.24. Every I-compact space is countably I-compact.
Lemma 2.25. Every I-compact space is I-Lindelof.

Definition 2.26 ([11]). An ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is said to be $\beta I$-compact (resp., countably $\beta I$-compact) if for every $\beta$-open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ (resp., countable $\beta$-open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ ) for $X$, there exist a finite subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ (resp., $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$ (resp., $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n} U_{\alpha} \in I$ ).

Definition 2.27. An ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ is said to be $\beta$-Lindelof if for every $\beta$-open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ for $X$, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$.

Lemma 2.28. Every $\beta I$-compact space is countably $\beta I$-compact.
Lemma 2.29. Every $\beta I$-compact space is $\beta I$-Lindelof.
Definition 2.30 ([13]). An ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$ is said to be $\rho I$-compact (resp., countably $\rho I$-compact) if for every family $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ (resp., countable family $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ ) of open sets in $X$ with $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$, then there exists a finite subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ (resp., $n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n} U_{\alpha} \in I\right)$.

Lemma 2.31. Every $\rho I$-compact space is countably $\rho I$-compact.

Definition 2.32. An ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is said to be $\beta \rho I$-compact (resp., countably $\beta \rho I$-compact) if for every family $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ (resp., countable family $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ ) of $\beta$-open sets in $X$ with $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I \quad$ (resp., $\left.X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha} \in I\right)$, then there exists a finite subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ (resp., $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{n} U_{\alpha} \in I\right)$.

Lemma 2.33. Every $\beta \rho I$-compact space is countably $\beta \rho I$-compact.
Definition 2.34. An ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is said to be $\rho I$-Lindelof (resp., $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof) if for every family $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ of open sets (resp., for every family $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ of $\beta$-open sets) in $X$ with $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$, then there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{0}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$.

Lemma 2.35. Every $\rho I$-compact space is $\rho I$-Lindelof.
Lemma 2.36. Every $\beta \rho I$-compact space is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

## 3. $\beta$-Lindelof and $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof Sets

Theorem 3.1. If $A$ and $B$ are $\beta$-Lindelof subsets of a topological space $(X, \tau)$, then $A \cup B$ is $\beta$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $A$ and $B$ be $\beta$-Lindelof subsets of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets such that $(A \cup B)$ $\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$. This implies $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$ and $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \cdot A$ and $B$ are $\beta$-Lindelof implies there exist countable subsets $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ of $\Delta$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} U_{\alpha}$ and $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{2}} U_{\alpha}$. Taking $\Delta_{3}=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}$, then $\Delta_{3}$ is countable and $(A \cup B) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{3}} U_{\alpha}$. Hence, $A \cup B$ is $\beta$-Lindelof.

Corollary 3.2. Finite union of $\beta$-Lindelof sets is $\beta$-Lindelof.
Theorem 3.3. If $A$ and $B$ are $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof subsets of an ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ), then $A \cup B$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $A$ and $B$ be $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof subsets of an ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets such that $(A \cup B) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. This implies $A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \subseteq(A \cup B) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$ and similarly $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \subseteq(A \cup B) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Since $A$ and $B$ are $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof, there exist countable subsets $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ of $\Delta$ such that $A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} U_{\alpha} \in I$ and $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{2}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Taking $\Delta_{3}=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}$, then $\Delta_{3}$ is countable and $A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{3}} U_{\alpha} \in I$ and $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{3}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Hence, $(A \cup B) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{2}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Therefore, $A \cup B$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Lemma 3.4. If $A$ and $B$ are $\rho I$-Lindelof subsets of an ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$, then $A \cup B$ is $\rho I$-Lindelof.

Corollary 3.5. Finite union of $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof sets is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.
Corollary 3.6. Finite union of $\rho I$-Lindelof sets is $\rho I$-Lindelof.
Theorem 3.7. Let $A$ be a $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof subset of an ideal topological space $(X, \tau, I)$. If $B$ is a $\beta$-open set contained in $A$, then $A \backslash B$ is $\beta \rho I-L i n d e l o f$.

Proof. Let $A$ be a $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof subset of an ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) and $B$ be a $\beta$-open set such that $B \subseteq A$. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets in $X$ such that $(A \backslash B) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. This implies
$A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta}\left(B \cup U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$. Given $A$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $B$ is a $\beta$-open set, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}}$ $\left(B \cup U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$. This implies $\quad(A \backslash B) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Hence, $A \backslash B$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Theorem 3.8. Let $(X, \tau, I)$ be an ideal topological space and $A \subseteq X$. If for every collection $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ of $\beta$-open sets, if $\left(A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$ there exists $a \quad \beta \rho$ I-Lindelof set $B$ containing $A$ such that $\left(B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$, then $A$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let the condition holds, $A \subseteq X$ and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family $\beta$-open sets such that $\left(A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$ and $B$ be $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof such that $A \subseteq B$ and $\left(B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$. Now $B$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof implies that there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. And $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I \Rightarrow A$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Theorem 3.9. If ( $X, \tau,\{0\}$ ) is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof, then every $\{0\} g \beta$-closed subset of $(X, \tau,\{0\})$ is $\beta$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $A$ be a $\{0\} g \beta$-closed subset of $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof space $(X, \tau,\{0\})$ and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a $\beta$-open sets such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$. Now $A$ is $\{0\} g \beta$-closed $\Rightarrow \beta c l(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$. Therefore, $X=(X \backslash \beta C l(A))$
$\cup\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \Rightarrow X \backslash\left[(X \backslash \beta c l(A)) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right)\right]=\emptyset \in\{0\}$. Since, $\quad(X \backslash \beta c l(A))$ is $\beta$-open and $(X, \tau, I)$ be $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash\left[(X \backslash \beta c l(A)) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right)\right]=\emptyset$.

$$
\text { But } \left.\left.X \backslash\left[(X \backslash \beta c l(A)) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right)\right]=\beta c l(A)\right) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \text {. Hence, } \beta c l(A)\right) \backslash
$$

$$
\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{0\} \text {. This implies } A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \text {. Hence, A } \beta \text {-Lindelof. }
$$

Theorem 3.10. If $A$ and $B$ are subsets of an ideal topological space ( $X, \tau,\{0\}$ ) such that $A \subseteq B, B \subseteq \beta c l(A)$ and $A$ is $\{0\} g \beta$-closed, then $A$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof if and only if $B$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof.

Proof. Suppose the condition holds and $A$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets such that $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{0\}$. Now $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \subseteq B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \Rightarrow A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset$. Given that $A$ is $\{0\} g \beta$-closed and $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset$ and $\beta c l(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}$. This implies $\quad B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \subseteq \beta c l(A) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \Rightarrow B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{\emptyset\}$. Hence, $B$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof.

Conversely, suppose the condition holds, $B$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets such that $A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{0\}$ and $A$ is $\{0\} g \beta$-closed set in $X$. This implies $\beta c l(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \beta c l(A)$

$$
\backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=0 . \quad B \subseteq \beta c l(A) \Rightarrow B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \subseteq \beta c l(A) \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=0 \Rightarrow B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}
$$

$=\emptyset . B$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof implies that there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{0\}$. Now $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}$ $\subseteq B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{0\} \Rightarrow A \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{0\}$. Hence, $A$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof.

Lemma 3.11. Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ with $A \subseteq B, B \subseteq \beta c l(A)$, and $A$ is $g \beta$-closed. Then $A$ is $\beta$-compact if and only if $B$ is $\beta$-compact.

Theorem 3.12. A $\beta$-closed subset of a $\beta$-Lindelof space is $\beta$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $B$ be a $\beta$-closed subset of a $\beta$-Lindelof space ( $X, \tau$ ) and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$. Then $(X \backslash B)$ is $\beta$-open and $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \cup(X \backslash B)$. Since $X$ is $\beta$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \subseteq\left[\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \cup\right.$ $(X \backslash B)]$. Hence, $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}$. Therefore, $B$ is $\beta$-Lindelof.

Theorem 3.13. If $B$ is $\beta$-Lindelof subset of a $\beta$-Hausdorff space $(X, \tau)$ and $x \notin B$, then there exist $\beta$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U, B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V=0$.

Proof. Let $B$ be $\beta$-Lindelof, $(X, \tau)$ be $\beta$-Hausdorff and $x \notin B$. Now $\forall b \in B, x \neq b$, therefore there exist $\beta$-open, sets $U_{x}$ and $V_{b}$ such that $x \in U_{x}, b \in V_{b}$ and $U_{x} \cap V_{b}=\emptyset$. The family $\left\{V_{b}: b \in B\right\}$ forms a $\beta$-open cover for $B$. Since $B$ is $\beta$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subcollection $\left\{V_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i}$. Let $V=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i}$,
then $V$ is $\beta$-open and $B \subseteq V$. For each open $V_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a corresponding $U_{i}$ such that $x \in U_{i}$ and $V_{i} \cap U_{i}=\emptyset$. Let $U=\beta \operatorname{int}\left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{i}\right)$, then $U$ is $\beta$-open, $x \in U$ and $U \cap V=0$.

Theorem 3.14. A $\beta$-Lindelof subset of a $\beta$-Hausdorff space is $\beta$-closed.
Proof. Let $X$ be $\beta$-Hausdorff and $B \subseteq X$ be $\beta$-Lindelof. Let $x \notin B$, then by Theorem 3.13, there exist $\beta$-open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $x \in U, B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$. This implies $\forall x \notin B$ there exists $\beta$-open set $U_{x}$ such that $x \in U_{x}$ and $U_{x} \cap B=\emptyset$. Let $H=\bigcup_{x \notin B} U_{x}$, then $H$ is $\beta$-open and $(X \backslash H)=B$. Hence, $B$ is $\beta$-closed.

## 4. $\beta$-Lindelof and $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof Spaces

Theorem 4.1. Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals in $(X, \tau)$ and $K=I \cap J$. If $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I-L i n d e l o f$ and $(X, \tau, J)$ is $\beta \rho J$-Lindelof, then $(X, \tau, K)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let the condition holds and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets in $X$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in K$. This implies $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$ $\in I$ and $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in J$. Now $(X, \tau, I)$ and $(X, \tau, J)$ are $\beta \rho J$-Lindelof implies that there exist countable subsets $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} U_{\alpha} \in I$ and $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{2}} U_{\alpha} \in J$. Taking $\Delta_{3}=\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}$, then $\Delta_{3}$ is countable and $\quad X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{3}} U_{\alpha} \in I \quad$ and $\quad X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{3}} U_{\alpha} \in J$. Therefore, $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{3}} U_{\alpha} \in(I \cap J)$. This implies $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{3}} U_{\alpha} \in K$. Hence, $(X, \tau, K)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f:(X, \tau, I) \rightarrow(Y, \delta)$ be $\beta$-irresolute and surjective. If $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $J=\left\{B \subseteq Y: f^{-1}(B) \in I\right\}$, then $(Y, \delta, J)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $\beta$-irresolute, surjective and $(X, \tau, I)$ be $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof. By (a) of Lemma 2.12, $J$ is an ideal on $Y$. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets in $Y$ such that $Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in J$. This implies $f^{-1}\left(Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$ where $\left\{f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a family of $\beta$-open sets in $X$.

Now $f^{-1}\left(Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right)=f^{-1}(Y) \backslash f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right)=X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$ and $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof implies there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$.

Hence, $f\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=f(X) \backslash f\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f\left(f^{-1}\right.$ $\left.\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in J$. Therefore, $(Y, \delta, J)$ is $\beta \rho J$-Lindelof.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f:(X, \tau, I) \rightarrow(Y, \delta)$ be $\beta$-irresolute and bijective. If $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $f(I)=\{f(A): A \in I\}$, then $(Y, \delta, f(I))$ is $\beta \rho f(I)$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $\beta$-irresolute, bijective and $(X, \tau, I)$ be $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof. By (b) of Lemma 2.12, $f(I)$ is an ideal on $Y$. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets in $Y$ such that $Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in f(I)$. This implies there exists $A \in I$ such that $Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=f(A)$.

Hence, $\quad A=f^{-1}\left(Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right)=f^{-1}(Y) \backslash f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right)=X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$.
Given that $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\quad \Delta \quad$ such that $\quad X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$. Therefore, $f\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}}\right.$ $\left.f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=f(X) \backslash f\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f\left(f^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}$ $\in f(I)$. Hence, $(Y, \delta, f(I))$ is $\beta \rho f(I)$-Lindelof.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \delta, J)$ be Mß-open and bijective. If $(Y, \delta, J)$ is $\beta \rho J$-Lindelof and $f^{-1}(J)=\left\{f^{-1}(C): C \in J\right\}$, then $\left(X, \tau, f^{-1}(J)\right.$ is $\beta \rho f^{-1}(J)$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(Y, \delta, J)$ be an $M \beta$-open bijection and $(Y, \delta, J)$ be $\beta \rho J$-Lindelof. By Lemma $2.13, f^{-1}(J)$ is an ideal on $X$. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets in $X$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$ $\in f^{-1}(J)$. Then there exists $Z \in J$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=f^{-1}(Z)$.

Therefore, we have $f\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right)=f(X) \backslash f\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}\right)=Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} f\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ $=Z \in J$. Given that $(Y, \delta, J)$ be $\beta \rho J$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \in J$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Therefore, } f^{-1}\left(Y \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=f^{-1}(Y) \backslash f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} f\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} \\
f^{-1}\left(f\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in f^{-1}(J) . \text { Hence, }\left(X, \tau, f^{-1}(J) \text { is } \beta \rho f^{-1}(J)\right. \text {-Lindelof. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 4.5. If $(X, \tau,\{0\})$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof and $B$ is $\beta$-closed in ( $X, \tau$ ), then $B$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let ( $X, \tau,\{0\}$ ) be $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof, $B$ be $\beta$-closed in ( $X, \tau$ ) and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$ open sets such that $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I=\{0\}$.

This implies $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \Rightarrow B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \Rightarrow X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \cup(X \backslash B)$ $\Rightarrow X \backslash\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \cup(X \backslash B)\right)=\emptyset \in\{0\}$. Now $(X, \tau,\{0\})$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof implies that, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \cup(X \backslash B)\right) \in\{0\}$. This implies $B \backslash\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \cup(X \backslash B)\right) \in\{0\}$. Hence, $B \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in\{0\}$. Therefore, $B$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof.

Theorem 4.6. A $\beta$-Lindelof and $\beta$-Hausdorff space is $\beta$-normal.
Proof. Let $(X, \tau)$ be $\beta$-Lindelof and $\beta$-Hausdorff and $A$ and $B$ be any disjoint closed sets in $X$. By Theorem $3.12 A$ and $B$ are $\beta$-Lindelof and $\forall a \in A, a \notin B$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.13, there exist $\beta$-open sets $U_{a}$ and $V_{a}$ open with $a \in U_{a}$ and $B \subseteq V_{a}$ such that $U_{a} \cap V_{a}=\emptyset$. Now $A \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} U_{a}$ and $B \subseteq V_{a}, a \in A$. Since $A$ is $\beta$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subcover say $\left\{U_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{i}$. Let $U=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_{i}$, then $U$ is $\beta$-open and $A \subseteq U$. Now for each $U_{i}$ there exists $V_{i}$ such that $B \subseteq V_{i}$ and $U_{i} \cap V_{i}=\emptyset$. Let $V=\beta \operatorname{int}\left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_{i}\right)$, then $V$ is $\beta$-open and $B \subseteq V$. Moreover $U \cap V=\emptyset$. Hence, $X$ is $\beta$-normal.

Lemma 4.7. If $(X, \tau,\{0\})$ is $\beta \rho\{\emptyset\}$-Lindelof and $\beta$-Hausdorff, then $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta$-normal.

Theorem 4.8. A topological space $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta$-Lindelof if and only if $(X, \tau,\{0\})$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau)$ be $\beta$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a collection of $\beta$-open sets such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{\emptyset\}$. This implies $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$. Since $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}$. This implies $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{\emptyset\}$. Hence, $(X, \tau,\{\emptyset\})$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof. Conversely suppose $(X, \tau,\{0\})$ is $\beta \rho\{0\}$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a $\beta$-open cover for $X$. This implies $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}$ and $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset \in\{\emptyset\}$. Since, $(X, \tau,\{\emptyset\})$ is $\beta \rho\{\emptyset\}$-Lindelof, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}\right) \in\{\emptyset\}$. This implies $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\emptyset$ and $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}$. Hence, $(X, \tau)$ is $\beta$-Lindelof.

Theorem 4.9. If $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof, then $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\rho I$-Lindelof.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of open sets in $X$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. By Remark 2.5, $U_{\alpha} \in \beta O$ $(X, \tau), \forall \alpha \in \Delta$. By our hypothesis, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Hence, $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\rho I$-Lindelof.

Theorem 4.10. An ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof iff $\left(X, \tau^{*}, I\right)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Proof. Suppose $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a collection of $\beta$-open sets in $\left(X, \tau^{*}, I\right)$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Now $U_{\alpha}=V_{\alpha} \backslash W_{\alpha}$ such that $V_{\alpha} \in \beta O(X, \tau), W_{\alpha} \in I, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$. This implies $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a collection of $\beta$-open sets in $(X, \tau, I)$ and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \subseteq$ $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_{\alpha}$. Therefore, we have $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_{\alpha} \subseteq X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I \Rightarrow X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_{\alpha} \in I$. By our hypothesis there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} V_{\alpha} \in I$. Now $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \subseteq\left[\left(X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} V_{\alpha}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} W_{\alpha}\right)\right] \in$ $I \Rightarrow X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I \Rightarrow\left(X, \tau^{*}, I\right)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof. Conversely, suppose $\left(X, \tau^{*}, I\right)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a collection of $\beta$-open sets in $(X, \tau, I)$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. By Remark 2.5 and our hypothesis $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a collection of $\beta$-open sets in $\left(X, \tau^{*}, I\right)$ and there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Hence, $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Theorem 4.11. An ideal topological space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof iff for any family $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ of $\beta$-closed sets in $X$, if $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_{\alpha} \in I$, then there exists countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} V_{\alpha} \in I$.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau, I)$ be a $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-closed sets in $X$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_{\alpha} \in I$. Now if $U_{\alpha}=\left(X \backslash V_{\alpha}\right)$, $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$, then $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a family of $\beta$-open sets in $X$ and we have $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_{\alpha}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}\left(X \backslash U_{\alpha}\right)=X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. By our hypothesis there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Hence, $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}}\left(X \backslash U_{\alpha}\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} V_{\alpha} \in I$.

Conversely, suppose the condition holds and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets in $X$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Then $\left\{\left(X \backslash U_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a family of $\beta$-closed sets and $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}\left(X \backslash U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$. By our hypothesis there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}}\left(X \backslash U_{\alpha}\right) \in I$. Hence, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}}\left(X \backslash U_{\alpha}\right)=X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Hence, $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof.

Corollary 4.12. ( $X, \tau^{*}, I$ ) is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof iff for any family $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ of $\beta$-closed sets in $(X, \tau, I)$ if $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_{\alpha} \in I$, then there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} V_{\alpha} \in I$.

Theorem 4.13. A countably $\beta$-compact and $\beta$-Lindelof space is $\beta$-compact.

Proof. Let $X$ be countably $\beta$-compact and $\beta$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha\right.$ $\in \Delta\}$ be a $\beta$-open cover $X$. The space is $\beta$-Lindelof implies that there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha}$ and $X$ is countably $\beta$-compact implies that there exists a finite subset $\Delta_{1}$ of $\Delta_{o}$ such that $X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} U_{\alpha}$. Hence, $X$ is $\beta$-compact.

Theorem 4.14. A countably $\beta \rho I$-compact and $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof ideal topological space is $\beta \rho I$-compact.

Proof. Let $(X, \tau, I)$ be countably $\beta \rho I$-compact and $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof and $\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a family of $\beta$-open sets such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_{\alpha} \in I$. The space ( $X, \tau, I$ ) is $\beta \rho I$-Lindelof implies that, there exists a countable subset $\Delta_{o}$ of $\Delta$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{o}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. The space $(X, \tau, I)$ is countably $\beta \rho I$-compact implies that, there exists a finite subset $\Delta_{1}$ of $\Delta_{o}$ such that $X \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} U_{\alpha} \in I$. Hence, $(X, \tau, I)$ is $\beta \rho I$-compact.

Lemma 4.15. A countably $\rho I$-compact and $\rho I$-Lindelof ideal topological space is $\rho I$-compact.
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