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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a study of the symmetrized divergences $U_{s}(p, q)=$ $K_{S}(p \| q)+K_{S}(q \| p)$ and $V_{s}(p, q)=K_{S}(p \| q) K_{s}(q \| p)$, where $K_{s}$ is the relative divergence of type $s, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Some basic properties as symmetry, monotonicity, and log-convexity are established. An important result from the Convexity Theory is also proved.


## 1. Introduction

Let

$$
\Omega^{+}=\left\{p=\left\{p_{i}\right\} \mid p_{i}>0, \sum p_{i}=1\right\},
$$

be the set of finite discrete probability distributions.

One of the most general probability measures which is of importance in Information Theory is the famous Csiszár's $f$-divergence $C_{f}(p \| q)$ [1], defined by

Definition 1. For a convex function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the $f$-divergence measure is given by

$$
C_{f}(p \| q):=\sum q_{i} f\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)
$$

where $p, q \in \Omega^{+}$.
Some important information measures are just particular cases of the Csiszár's $f$-divergence.

For example,
(a) taking $f(x)=x^{\alpha}, \alpha>1$, we obtain the $\alpha$-order divergence defined by

$$
I_{\alpha}(p \| q):=\sum p_{i}^{\alpha} q_{i}^{1-\alpha}
$$

Remark. The above quantity is an argument in well-known theoretical divergence measures such as Renyi $\alpha$-order divergence $I_{\alpha}^{R}(p \| q)$ or Tsallis divergence $I_{\alpha}^{T}(p \| q)$, defined as

$$
I_{\alpha}^{R}(p \| q):=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log I_{\alpha}(p \| q) ; \quad I_{\alpha}^{T}(p \| q):=\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left(I_{\alpha}(p \| q)-1\right)
$$

(b) for $f(x)=x \log x$, we obtain the Kullback-Leibler divergence ([4]) defined by

$$
K(p \| q):=\sum p_{i} \log \left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)
$$

(c) for $f(x)=(\sqrt{x}-1)^{2}$, we obtain the Hellinger distance

$$
H^{2}(p, q):=\sum\left(\sqrt{p}_{i}-\sqrt{q}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

(d) if we choose $f(x)=(x-1)^{2}$, then we get the $\chi^{2}$-distance

$$
\chi^{2}(p, q):=\sum\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2} / q_{i}
$$

The generalized measure $K_{s}(p \| q)$, known as the relative divergence of type $s$ [8], or simply $s$-divergence, is defined by

$$
K_{s}(p \| q):= \begin{cases}\left(\sum p_{i}^{s} q_{i}^{1-s}-1\right) / s(s-1), & s \in \mathbb{R} /\{0,1\} \\ K(q \| p), & s=0 \\ K(p \| q), & s=1\end{cases}
$$

It include the Hellinger and $\chi^{2}$ distances as particular cases.

Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{1 / 2}(p \| q)=4\left(1-\sum \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}\right)=2 \sum\left(p_{i}+q_{i}-2 \sqrt{p_{i} q_{i}}\right)=2 H^{2}(p, q) \\
K_{2}(p \| q)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}}-1\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)^{2}}{q_{i}}=\frac{1}{2} \chi^{2}(p, q)
\end{gathered}
$$

The $s$-divergence represents an extension of Tsallis divergence to the real line and accordingly is of importance in Information Theory. Main properties of this measure are given in [8].

Theorem A. For fixed $p, q \in \Omega^{+}, p \neq q$, the $s$-divergence is $a$ positive, continuous and convex function in $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We shall use in this article a stronger property.
Theorem B. For fixed $p, q \in \Omega^{+}, p \neq q$, the $s$-divergence is a logconvex function in $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. This is a corollary of an assertion proved in [6]. It says that for arbitrary positive sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and associated weight sequence $q \in Q$ (see Appendix), the quantity $\lambda_{s}$ defined by

$$
\lambda_{s}:=\frac{\sum q_{i} x_{i}^{s}-\left(\sum q_{i} x_{i}\right)^{s}}{s(s-1)}
$$

is logarithmically convex in $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Putting there $x_{i}=p_{i} / q_{i}$, we obtain that $\lambda_{s}=K_{s}(p \| q)$ is log-convex in $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, for any real $s, t$, we have that

$$
K_{s}(p \| q) K_{t}(p \| q) \geq K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}^{2}(p \| q) .
$$

Among all mentioned measures, only Hellinger distance has a symmetry property $H^{2}=H^{2}(p, q)=H^{2}(q, p)$. Our aim in this paper is to investigate some global properties of the symmetrized measures $U_{s}=U_{s}(p, q)=U_{s}(q, p):=K_{s}(p \| q)+K_{s}(q \| p)$ and $V_{s}=V_{s}(p, q)=V_{s}(q, p)$ $:=K_{s}(p \| q) K_{s}(q \| p)$. Since Kullback and Leibler themselves in their fundamental paper [4] (see also [3]) worked with the symmetrized variant $J(p, q):=K(p \| q)+K(q \| p)=\sum\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) \log \left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)$, our results can be regarded as a continuation of their ideas.

## 2. Results and Proofs

We shall give firstly some properties of the symmetrized divergence $V_{s}=K_{s}(p \| q) K_{s}(q \| p)$.

Proposition 2.1. (1) For arbitrary, but fixed probability distributions $p, q \in \Omega^{+}, p \neq q$, the divergence $V_{s}$ is a positive and continuous function in $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) $V_{s}$ is a log-convex (hence convex) function in $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(3) The graph of $V_{s}$ is symmetric with respect to the line $s=1 / 2$, bounded from below with the universal constant $4 H^{4}$ and unbounded from above.
(4) $V_{s}$ is monotone decreasing for $s \in(-\infty, 1 / 2)$ and monotone increasing for $s \in(1 / 2,+\infty)$.
(5) The inequality

$$
V_{s}^{t-r} \leq V_{r}^{t-s} V_{t}^{s-r}
$$

holds for any $r<s<t$.
Proof. The part (1) is a simple consequence of Theorem A above.
The proof of part (2) follows by using Theorem B. Namely, for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{s} V_{t} & =\left[K_{s}(p \| q) K_{s}(q \| p)\right]\left[K_{t}(p \| q) K_{t}(q \| p)\right] \\
& =\left[K_{s}(p \| q) K_{t}(p \| q)\right]\left[K_{s}(q \| p) K_{t}(q \| p)\right] \\
& \geq\left[K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(p \| q)\right]^{2}\left[K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(q \| p)\right]^{2}=\left[V_{\frac{s+t}{2}}\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Note that

$$
K_{s}(p \| q)=K_{1-s}(q \| p) ; K_{s}(q \| p)=K_{1-s}(p \| q) .
$$

Hence $V_{s}=V_{1-s}$, that is, $V_{1 / 2-s}=V_{1 / 2+s}, s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Also,

$$
V_{s}=K_{s}(p \| q) K_{s}(q \| p)=K_{s}(p \| q) K_{1-s}(p \| q) \geq K_{1 / 2}^{2}(p \| q)=4 H^{4} .
$$

(4) We shall prove only the "increasing" assertion. The other part follows from graph symmetry.

Therefore, for any $1 / 2<x<y$, we have that

$$
1-y<1-x<x<y
$$

Applying Proposition X (see Appendix) with $a=1-y, b=y, s=1-x$,
$t=x ; f(s):=\log K_{s}(p \| q)$, we get

$$
\log K_{x}(p \| q)+\log K_{1-x}(p \| q) \leq \log K_{y}(p \| q)+\log K_{1-y}(p \| q),
$$

that is $V_{x} \leq V_{y}$ for $x<y$.
(5) From the parts (1) and (2), it follows that $\log V_{s}$ is a continuous and convex function on $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we can apply the following alternative form [2]:

Lemma 2.2. If $\phi(s)$ is continuous and convex for all $s$ of an open interval I for which $s_{1}<s_{2}<s_{3}$, then

$$
\phi\left(s_{1}\right)\left(s_{3}-s_{2}\right)+\phi\left(s_{2}\right)\left(s_{1}-s_{3}\right)+\phi\left(s_{3}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Hence, for $r<s<t$, we get

$$
(t-r) \log V_{s} \leq(t-s) \log V_{r}+(s-r) \log V_{t},
$$

which is equivalent to the assertion of part (5).
Properties of the symmetrized measure $U_{s}:=K_{s}(p \| q)+K_{s}(q \| p)$ are very similar; therefore some analogous proofs will be omitted.

Proposition 2.3. (1) The divergence $U_{s}$ is a positive and continuous function in $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) $U_{s}$ is a log-convex function in $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
(3) The graph of $U_{s}$ is symmetric with respect to the line $s=1 / 2$, bounded from below with $4 H^{2}$ and unbounded from above.
(4) $U_{s}$ is monotone decreasing for $s \in(-\infty, 1 / 2)$ and monotone increasing for $s \in(1 / 2,+\infty)$.
(5) The inequality

$$
U_{s}^{t-r} \leq U_{r}^{t-s} U_{t}^{s-r}
$$

holds for any $r<s<t$.

Proof. (1) Omitted.
(2) Since both $K_{s}$ and $V_{s}$ are log-convex functions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{s} & U_{t}-U_{\frac{s+t}{2}}^{2} \\
= & {\left[K_{s}(p \| q)+K_{s}(q \| p)\right]\left[K_{t}(p \| q)+K_{t}(q \| p)\right]-\left[K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(p \| q)+K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(q \| p)\right]^{2} } \\
= & {\left[K_{s}(p \| q) K_{t}(p \| q)-K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(p \| q)^{2}\right]+\left[K_{s}(q \| p) K_{t}(q \| p)-K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(q \| p)^{2}\right] } \\
& +\left[K_{s}(p \| q) K_{t}(q \| p)+K_{s}(q \| p) K_{t}(p \| q)-2 K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(p \| q) K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(q \| p)\right] \\
\geq & {\left[K_{s}(p \| q) K_{t}(p \| q)-K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(p \| q)^{2}\right]+\left[K_{s}(q \| p) K_{t}(q \| p)-K_{\frac{s+t}{2}}(q \| p)^{2}\right] } \\
& +2\left[\sqrt{V_{s} V_{t}}-V_{\frac{s+t}{2}}^{2}\right] \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) The graph symmetry follows from the fact that $U_{s}=U_{1-s}, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We also have, due to arithmetic-geometric inequality, that

$$
U_{s} \geq 2 \sqrt{V_{s}} \geq 4 H^{2}
$$

Finally, since $p \neq q$ yields $\max \left\{p_{i} / q_{i}\right\}=p_{*} / q_{*}>1$, we get

$$
K_{s}(p \| q)>\frac{q_{*}\left(p_{*} / q_{*}\right)^{s}-1}{s(s-1)} \rightarrow \infty(s \rightarrow \infty)
$$

It follows that both $U_{s}$ and $V_{s}$ are unbounded from above.
(4) Omitted.
(5) The proof is obtained by another application of Lemma 2.2 with $\phi(s)=\log U_{s}$.

Remark 2.4. We worked here with the class $\Omega^{+}$for the sake of simplicity. Obviously that all results hold, after suitable adjustments, for arbitrary probability distributions and in the continuous case as well.

Remark 2.5. It is not difficult to see that the same properties are valid for normalized divergences $U_{s}^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{s}(p \| q)+K_{s}(q \| p)\right)$ and $V_{s}^{*}=\sqrt{K_{s}(p \| q) K_{s}(q \| p)}$, with

$$
2 H^{2} \leq V_{s}^{*} \leq U_{s}^{*} .
$$
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## 3. Appendix

## A convexity property

Most general class of convex functions is defined by the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(x)+\phi(y)}{2} \geq \phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function which satisfies this inequality in a certain closed interval $I$ is called convex in that interval. Geometrically, it means that the midpoint of any chord of the curve $y=\phi(x)$ lies above or on the curve.

Denote now by $Q$ the family of weights, i.e., positive real numbers summing to 1 . If $\phi$ is continuous, then much more can be said, i.e., the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \phi(x)+q \phi(y) \geq \phi(p x+q y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $p, q \in Q$. Moreover, the equality sign takes place only if $x=y$ or $\phi$ is linear (cf. [2]).

We shall prove here an interesting property of this class of convex functions.

Proposition X. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous convex function defined on a closed interval $[a, b]:=I$. Denote

$$
F(s, t):=f(s)+f(t)-2 f\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{s, t \in I} F(s, t)=F(a, b) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that the inequality

$$
F(s, t) \leq F(a, b)
$$

holds for $a<s<t<b$.

In the sequel we need the following assertion (which is of independent interest).

Lemma 3.3. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous convex function on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in I$ and $x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)}{2} \leq f\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}\right)-f\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{3}}{2}\right)  \tag{i}\\
& \frac{f\left(x_{3}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)}{2} \geq f\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{3}}{2}\right)-f\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{2}\right) \tag{ii}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We shall prove the first part of the lemma; the proof of second part goes along the same lines.

Since $x_{1}<x_{2}<\frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}<x_{3}$, there exist $p, q ; 0<p, q<1, p+q=1$ such that $x_{2}=p x_{1}+q \frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}$.

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)}{2}+f\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[f\left(x_{1}\right)-\left(p f\left(x_{1}\right)+q f\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}\right)\right)\right]+f\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{q}{2} f\left(x_{1}\right)+\frac{2-q}{2} f\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}\right) \geq f\left(\frac{q}{2} x_{1}+\frac{2-q}{2}\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{3}}{2}\right)\right)=f\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{3}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, applying the part (i) with $x_{1}=a, x_{2}=s, x_{3}=b$ and the part (ii) with $x_{1}=s, x_{2}=t, x_{3}=b$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f(s)-f(a)}{2} & \leq f\left(\frac{s+b}{2}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\frac{f(b)-f(t)}{2} & \geq f\left(\frac{s+b}{2}\right)-f\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.
Subtracting (2) from (3), the desired inequality follows.

Corollary 3.4. Under the conditions of Proposition X, we have that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq f(t)+f(a+b-t) \leq f(a)+f(b) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for each $t \in I$.
Proof. Since the condition $t \in I$ is equivalent with $a+b-t \in I$, applying Proposition X with $s=a+b-t$ we obtain the right-hand side of (4). The left-hand side inequality is obvious.

Remark 3.5. The relation (4) is a kind of pre-Hermite-Hadamard inequalities. Indeed, integrating both sides of (4) over $I$, we obtain the famous H-H inequality

$$
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2},
$$

since $\int_{a}^{b} f(a+b-t) d t=\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t$.

